

WWJMRD 2017; 3(1): 4-9
www.wwjmr.com
Impact Factor MJIF: 4.25
e-ISSN: 2454-6615

Mohammad Taghi Sheykhi
Professor of Sociology,
Department of Social Science,
Alzahra University, Tehran

Children in focus in Iran: A sociological assessment

Mohammad Taghi Sheykhi

Abstract

The present paper explores how families have been changing smoothly and steadily since 1950. Iran's current state of children and families need investigation and analysis through multilateral perspectives. While decades back Iran's proportion of children was 33 percent, in 2016 it declined to 24 percent. Fair chance to live within children pertains to different factors which will be investigated in the present survey. History argues that prior to 1800, children were more essential to their parents than today because of their labor and production values. Similarly, the changing values in general have contributed to the value of children in different societies. The paper examines 250 families to explore the children's status in Tehran City as a representative of the entire country. In the process of survey, attitudes, ideas, feelings, views and behavior of the respondents were sought to assess the value of children in Iran. The main hypothesis of the article is: *"more care and investment in children, result in healthier and more professional youth in later life"*.

Keywords: Value of children. Happiness. Cultural change. Personality characteristics. Quality of life

Introduction

In Iran, high fertility rates swelled the proportions of children and young people, which has contributed to the emergence of complicated and controversial problems. In Iran, where about a third of population was at age of 0-14, and about 24 percent in 2016 (World Population Data Sheet 2016) who enter and pass through the youth course with increasing needs and expectations, such a survey on youth is very necessary. Despite the high numbers of children, until recently, they received little attention from academics and policy-makers; a situation that has begun to change over the past two decades.

Many sociologists and historians have long argued that children in earlier times (before 1800) were economically essential to their parents and families, which is no longer the case at present (Gittins, 1991:93). Undoubtedly children have been and continue to have an economic value ally. In many cases in the past children often started contributing to the family economy from ages of 3 or 4 years onwards. Within the poorest sectors of modern society, even today, a child's contribution to the family can still be crucial. "Nowadays", the unpaid domestic labor of girls in many families much in the past, was important economically. "In many families", yet, the price of producing children was also a high one in earlier times; children frequently resulted in the mother's death, and often that of the child, and this could cause an acute economic crisis in the family. High mortality constantly threatened a family's labor supply.

A fair chance to live depends on where the child lives, how his/her family is, where he/she is born etc. Every child has the right to a fair chance in life. But around the world, millions of children are trapped in an intergenerational cycle of disadvantage that endangers their futures — and the future of their societies (The State of the World's Children 2016).

Despite all these challenges, having children also contributes to cultural transmission, brings status to the family, and in particular for the women in Iran, and as a whole in many Third World societies. That is, they contribute to human capital, potential support for the families etc. Having children creates new power relationships; between mother and child, between father and child, and between parents. Having children also empowers mother according to the Iranian culture.

Until recently, and even today, many parents have had (have) real fears about their old age. They want children to take care of them materially and emotionally when they are old. Children are known to be a source of love and emotional support to lonely and aged parents. Without children parents are afraid of a lonely, painful old age, and uncomforted death (Dowrick, 1980:12).

Correspondence:
Mohammad Taghi Sheykhi
Professor of Sociology,
Department of Social Science,
Alzahra University, Tehran,
Iran

It is now universally accepted that family size may affect individual development, and that there is a fundamental right to choose the size and spacing of the family (Salas, 1985:26). Based on modern values, state of existence that is deemed important, desirable and worth striving for, i.e. norms which are parts of the culture of a society (Neubeck, et al.1996:116), is so spreading among parents that future depends on more than the number of children in the family. Parents now realize that increasing the size of the family will decrease the quality of life of each child. However, as result of medical advances and social change, new standards are appearing within the Iranian families.

Theoretical Perspectives

As cons believe, children have widely been neglected in the social sciences until recently (Prout and James: 1990). The reason for that is based on the assumption that as children's lives are impermanent, they are unimportant (Montgomery,2001); or because children or the youth need never be taken very seriously due to changing values (Wulff,1995). However, it is important to note that studies of children or the youth have emerged from interdisciplinary areas.

Lately, the areas of social science and sociobiology that dominated research with children was developmental psychology. That is, an area of the study of children that was initially conceived of as a way of finding solutions to their general psychological problems rather than be concerned specifically with children or even child development (Jenks,1996). Educationists who were concerned with children as recipients of schooling, seldom delved further into children's lives. In recent years; in the 1990s, sociologists began to recognize children as legitimate subject of study. However, because of increasing trends of urbanization, and the resultant socio-cultural change, children and the youth became very vulnerable and thence they became the subject of study of many social scientists.

Currently, social scientists are cautious about describing any type of behavior as instinctive. Even sociologists hold that children's behavior is primarily guided by the surrounding culture. Of course, this does not mean that biology plays no part in children's behavior. Human life, after all, depends on the functioning of the body. We also know that children share many biological traits with their parents, especially physical characteristics such as height, weight, hair, eye colour, and facial features. Intelligence and various personality characteristics have some genetic components. But, whether a person develops an inherited potentiality or not, depends on the opportunities associated with the social position (Goldsmith, 1983). In a nutshell,

the evidence shows that nurture/ care is far more important than nature in determining children's behavior. By and large, we should not think of nature as opposing nurture. They are complementary to each other. For children therefore, nature and nurture are inseparable.

Methodology

The research methods used in the present paper for specific fact-finding, and operations to yield the required data, have been a mixed-method strategy of investigation. In the theoretical section, the author has referred to various relevant theories, approaches and literature reviews. In the empirical section, 250 family units comprising of 500 spouses of different backgrounds and localities were sampled in Tehran. Those men and women were interviewed orally. As qualitative research is becoming increasingly popular in the social sciences, interviews highly contribute towards clarifying issues. However, the interview method was used in this research mainly to discover something new through live communication. The main hypothesis of the article is: *"more care and investment in children, result in healthier and more professional youth in later life"*. In conducting the research, the following techniques, tools, and procedures were employed:

- * Books, documents and other relevant sources of information as secondary/documentary evidence.
- * Observation: the researcher used this method too, through visiting people and centers like nurseries to investigate issues affecting children and their families.
- * Interviews: interviews were mainly used to find ideas, probe responses, and investigate motives and feelings, which cannot be obtained through the use of questionnaires. This flexible method was used as a means to understand the variables associated with children as a source of happiness in the context of their families.

Relevant samples were selected in order to provide the necessary insights into the value or happiness of children in the Iranian society. In conducting the survey, 250 couples (500 men and Women) within the limits of the Province of Tehran were interviewed and the intended questions were investigated.

Through this qualitative method, attitudes, ideas, feelings, views, and behavior of the respondents were sought in order to assess the value of children. Conducting interviews provided the author with the opportunity to observe patterns of child-family interactions from very close quarters.

Table 1: Selected Interviewees/Couples by Age Groups and Average Number of Children

Number of Interviewees	Age Groups	Average No. of Children
Total 500	30-69	4.3
100	30-34	3.3
90	35-39	3.6
70	40-44	3.9
62	45-49	4.2
58	50-54	4.4
50	55-59	4.9
40	60-64	5.1
30	65-69	5.3

Table1, [selected interviewees/couples by age group and number of children] indicates that younger couples tend to have smaller number of children on average. It may therefore be deduced that the value of children has declined among the younger generations.

Familial influences

The value of children as a source of happiness within the Iranian families originates in their socio-economic values in different dimensions to the parents. Research findings highlight the fundamental motivations for having children. So far as the author has acknowledged, the study reveals that children as a source of happiness to parents varies from culture to culture and according to the sex of the parents and children, and gender identity. It is also important to find out how parents consciously weigh factors when they make decisions on family size. In addition, knowledge is needed about how parents' general psychological needs are transformed into needs for children, how values that are specific to children are formed and developed, and how the needs for children differ according to the number and sex composition of children already born.

However, there is a close relationship between the well-being of the children, and that of their mothers', fathers' incomes, educational levels, and decisions to have children, extend family size etc. When women are empowered to live full and productive lives, children prosper. On the contrary, when women are denied equal opportunities within a society, children suffer. Though in most places in the developing world women earn less than men for equal work, income disparities between the sexes are less in Iran. Children tend to benefit more in situations where women make crucial decisions. Therefore, the consequences of women's exclusion from making key household decisions can be as dire for children as they are for women themselves. In families in which women are key decision-makers, the proportion of resources devoted to children is far greater than those in which women play a less decisive role. "This is so because women generally place a higher premium than men on welfare-related goals, and are more likely to use their influence and the resources they control, to promote the needs of children in particular, and those of the family in general" (The State of World Children:2007). However, mothers' participation in the workforce can be beneficial to children, because it often results in women gaining greater access to, and control of, economic resources. But, paid employment for women does not automatically lead to better outcomes for children. Factors such as the amount of time women spend working outside the household, the conditions under which they are employed, and who controls the income they generate, all determine how their employment affects their own well-being and that of their children. Nonetheless, the demands of work also often leave parents with less time to spend with their children. They are often unable to devote quality time to their children without jeopardizing their ability to support their families. Working long hours can be problematic for low-income families, yet many employers do not regard work-schedule flexibility to be an option for low-wage workers.

Violence against Children

Despite all forms of educational and socialization upheavals around the world, yet every year, as many as 275

million children worldwide become caught in the crossfire of domestic violence and suffer the full consequences of a turbulent home life (The State of the World Children:2007). Violence against children involves physical and psychological abuse and injury in different forms according to socio-cultural backgrounds, negligent treatment, exploitation, child labor, and even sexual abuse in some cases. The agents may include parents and other close family members.

So far as the domestic violence against children in Iran is concerned, this phenomenon has highly decreased within the Iranian families in the past two decades because of educational and socialization promotion in younger families. It has been highly forbidden at schools too. Domestic violence is currently known as a negative value and anti-social behavior within the families in particular in larger cities in Iran.

Generally speaking, children who survive abuse, often suffer long-term physical and psychological damage that impairs their ability to learn and socialize, and makes it difficult for them to perform well in school and develop close and positive friendships. Children who usually grow up in a violent home and in an atmosphere of family violence⁽⁵⁾, are more likely to suffer abuse compared to children who have a peaceful home life. As searched, in some countries such as China, Egypt, Mexico and South Africa, there is a strong correlation between violence against women and violence against children (The State of the World Children: 2007).

However, the consequences of domestic violence can span generations. The effects of violent behavior tend to stay with children long after they leave the childhood home. Boys who are exposed to their parents' domestic violence are twice as likely to become abusive men as are the sons of non-violent parents. Furthermore, girls who witness their mothers being abused, are more likely to accept violence in a marriage than girls who come from non-violent homes. Children may experience difficult circumstances for a number of reasons, but in most cases the root problem is an underlying context of acute vulnerability. In many cases, it is the livelihood strategies that particularly impoverished and vulnerable children and families employ that place children in especially difficult circumstances. These difficult circumstances are also often interrelated. As a result of war, for instance, children may be separated from their parents, live on the streets, etc. Those living on the streets are more vulnerable to being trafficked, being affected by AIDS, other diseases, and anti-social behaviors.

Value of Children

Due to the obvious differences in the attitudes, values and life patterns of people and families from different cultures, and ways of life, the perceptions of positive and negative values of children will differ from one culture to another, and from one region to another in Iran.

Although the value of children can be conceptually regarded as a balance of two opposing forces, i.e. satisfactions and costs of children, in this paper the negative and positive dimensions of the value of children, i.e. their economic, social and psychological values are highly inter-related.

Positive Values of children

The values or aspects of happiness would include:

- a) Economic and social benefits and security;

- b) Emotional benefits;
- c) Self-enrichment and development;
- d) Family cohesion and continuity; and
- e) Identification with children;

Negative Values of Children

Parents must also be aware of the negative aspects of having children. The qualities which contribute to the unhappiness of the families are:

- a) Economic and social costs;
- b) Emotional costs;
- c) Restrictions/opportunity costs;
- d) Physical demands;
- e) Family costs;

Economic and Social Benefits and Security

Benefits and happiness could be derived from children's help in the house, business or on the farm, care of siblings, and from sharing of income, both when children are young and when they are grown up. Security, is also an aspect of this value (JFLHS, 1999:213). Middle-class respondents are generally not concerned about the economic benefits and security of having children. However, there is only one exception; sons are valued for their socio-economic benefits and security by the middle-class respondents. They are known as a source of happiness in the family.

Emotional Benefits

Happiness, love, companionship, and fun for the parents/families are included in this category. Viewed in reverse, avoidance of loneliness and boredom can also be considered as emotional benefits. The emotional benefits of children do not relate only to one country, society or culture, but may be perceived as global. Such benefits as emphasized upon both by middle and lower class respondents as positive values.

In spite of the emotional benefits of having children, nowadays, many children are emotionally abandoned especially among urban communities, and due to the abundance of children in the families (Ginat, 1995:156). They eventually become street children.

Self-enrichment and Development

This value consists of learning from the experience of raising children, becoming more mature and responsible, and being recognized as an adult, or a grown man or woman. Incentive in life as provided by children are also considered a value of self-enrichment. Middle-class respondents perceived children not only as providing emotional benefits, but also as enhancing the personal development of the parents.

Family Cohesiveness and Continuity

Throughout history human beings have generally preferred large families to small ones in order to ensure the survival of a particular family line or racial group. However, the situation has changed dramatically in the twentieth century (Zgourides, 2000:205). This value (contribution) includes the benefits children provide in making a family more complete, and also in continuing the family name. It includes children as a bond between husband and wife, a fulfilment of marriage, and a competition of family life. That is an interaction which acts as a happiness multiplier in the family. However, the milieu and social conditions

have determining impacts on the value system of having children, i.e. factors such as ethics, religion, literacy, science, knowledge, family traditions etc., (Shamloo, 1995:136) — all which play part in creating happiness in the family.

Identification with the Children

This value is derived from the pleasure of watching the growth and development of children, pride in children's accomplishments and reflection of one's self in children. Similarly, given their socio-economic setting, lower-class groups might feel that their only means of social mobility is through their children's success (JSS, 2001:123). To many respondents, children may also be seen as important for performing social or religious functions. Such values include, having children to conform to social or religious norms, to benefit society, perform religious rituals, etc.

Negative Values

Economic and Social Costs

These costs include child-bearing, educational and socialization costs of children. Due to the difference in economic settings of social classes, there appears to be different perceptions of economic and social costs of having children. Today, and while the costs of living is ever rising, urban people have become cautious and tend not to have many children. Therefore, they are now aware of the financial costs of having larger families.

Emotional Costs

General emotional strain, concern about discipline and moral behavior of children, future of the occupational status of the children, health and general security of the children are some of the aspects which cause family anxieties so far as the value of the children in the Iranian families are concerned. So, many families are looking for desired family size. Thus, families wish to proceed according to the principle of: "every child a wanted child".

Restrictions/Opportunity Costs

These costs are derived from lack of flexibility and freedom in various aspects of life such as travel, social life, recreation, leisure activities etc. Lack of privacy and time for personal needs and desires are also included. Such lags and shortages are qualitatively and quantitatively increasing under the circumstances of social and economic change. Such restrictions are especially salient for the middle-class respondents as a disadvantage of having children as compared to having no children at all. The relative importance of this negative value diminishes however, due to reasons for not wanting another child; suggesting that opportunity costs are significant in the decision to have children, or not to have more children after the first child has been born to the family. However, such negative values are highly transparent in the modern times.

Physical Demands

This category includes extra housework, loss of sleep, and general weariness in caring for children. Here, physical demands are not important to middle-class respondents expect in the context of wanting more than the desired amount of goods and services. In other words, lower-class people usually have a relatively large number of children since they are not able to hire help for the work on farm,

etc. As a result, physical demands of children become a burden to them.

Family Costs

These costs consist of spending less with spouse's affection/desire because of children or other kinds of negative effects on husband-wife relationship because of children. This negative value is considered somewhat

important by respondents in all three socio-economic groups as a reason for not having another child. Similarly, to have or reach higher per capita income, wanting children must be revised and reconsidered. That is to say, more economic development and higher productivity are dependent on smaller family size (Croll, 2000:22).

Table 2: Demographic, Economic and Social Indicators of Iran 2006 and 2016

Indicators	2006	2016
Population (millions)	70.3	79.5
Birth per 1000 Pop.	18	19
Death per 1000 Pop.	6	5
Rate of Natural Increase (%)	1.2	1.4
Projected Pop. 2025 (millions)	89	87.9
Projected Pop. 2050 (millions)	101.9	91.6
Infant Mortality Rate	32	14
Total Fertility Rate	2.0	1.8
Percentage of Pop.< 15(%)	29	24
Percentage of Pop. 65+ (%)	5	5
Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	70	77
Male Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	69	76
Female Life Expectancy at Birth (years)	72	78
Percent Urban	67	72
Contraception Use by Women 15-49 (%) All Methods	74	77
Contraception Use by Women 15-49 (%) Modern Methods	56	57
GNI PPP* per capita, (US \$) 2004	8050	17400
Area (square Km.)	1,648,000	1,648,000
Density of Pop. (Per square Km. Arable Land)	475	534

Sources: Word Population Data Sheet 2006.

Word Population Data Sheet 2016.

* Gross National Income Purchasing Power Parity

Based on the figures reflected in Table 2 as compared with previous years, quantitative values of children in Iran have decreased significantly. Instead, with the decline in the numbers of children within the Iranian families, their qualitative values have increased.

Conclusion

Despite all the merits and demerits of the value of children within the Iranian families, they are a source of synergy and happiness regardless of class, creed, race, community affiliation etc. Based on the analysis conducted on the value of children, social pressures for small families might be increased, if it were commonly known that basic necessities of life became increasingly scarce when some people chose large families. However, the values of children and the resultant happiness cannot be discussed independent of the economic and social conditions. Similarly, change in cultural values have great effects on the value of children, and the government(s) must usually try to change the cultural ideas such as the strong preference for sons to daughters among families. Decisions to have smaller families functionally fit with the historical transition from agricultural societies to industrial ones. In the latter situation, children are more costly to raise, but offer lesser economic returns, which might be more common for both parents to work outside the home. As long as positive values of children outweigh their negative values, parents continue having children as a source of synergy and happiness, apart from the income and support the children supply to their parents in return.

Although many leisure pursuits and other means have come

to substitute the value of children, children nevertheless prove to be an original source of happiness in the Iranian society. However, mothers continue to play a primary role in bringing prosperity to their children, and the entire process contributes to the happiness within families. Therefore, raising the status of women by empowering them highly affects children's well-being.

Reference

1. Croll Elizabeth, 2000, *Endangered Daughters: Discrimination & Development in Asia*, p.22, London, Routledge.
2. Dowrick. S and S. Grundberg 1980, *Why Children*, p.12, London, The Women's Press.
3. Giant, Haim, J., 1995, *Relations between Parents and Children*, p.158, (translated by Siavoosh Sartipi into Persian, Tehran, Ettelat Publications.
4. Gittins. D, 1991, *The Family in Question*, p.93, London, Macmillan.
5. Goldsmith, H.H., "Genetic Influences on Personality from Infancy", *Child Development*, Vol.54, No.2, (April 1983): 31-35
6. Jenks,M., 1996, *Childhood*, London, Routledge.
7. Journal of the Faculty of Letters and Human Sciences, (JFLSH) p.213, Vol.32, Numbers 1-2, 1999, Ferdosi University, Mashhad, Iran.
8. Neubeck, K.J., *et al.*, 1996, *Sociology*, p.116, New York, McGraw- Hill Inc.
9. Macionis, J.J., 1998, *Sociology*, London, Prentice-Hall.
10. Montgomery, H., 2001, *Modern Babylon: Prostituting Children in Thailand*, Oxford, Berghahn Books.

11. Prout,A., et al., A., 1990, *A New Paradigm for the Sociology of Childhood: Provenance, Promise and Problems*, pp.7-34, London, Falmer.
12. Salas Rafael M., 1985, *Reflections on Population*, p.26, Oxford, Pergamon Press.
13. The State of the World Children 2007, Geneva, Switzerland.
14. The State of the World Children 2016, Geneva, Switzerland.
15. World Population Data Sheet 2006, Washington DC., Population Reference Bureau.
16. World Population Data Sheet 2016, PRB. Washington DC.
17. Wulff, H., 1995, *Introducing Youth Culture in its Own Right*, pp.1-18, London, Routledge.
18. Zgourides., G.D., 2000, *Developmental Psychology*, New York: John Wiley & Sons.