



WWJMRD 2017; 3(9): 150-156  
www.wwjmr.com  
International Journal  
Peer Reviewed Journal  
Refereed Journal  
Indexed Journal  
UGC Approved Journal  
Impact Factor MJIF: 4.25  
e-ISSN: 2454-6615

**Hari Krishna. Kairi**

Assistant Professor (Mba),  
Sphoorthy Engineering  
College, Nadargul, Hyderabad  
Telangana, India

## Employee Engagement: The Key to reinforce staff Performance in Indian organizations

**Hari Krishna. Kairi**

### Abstract

Employee engagement or engrossment could also be an outsized construct that touches the bulk components of human resource management sides we have a tendency to all recognize nonetheless. If ever a district of human resources is not addressed in applicable manner, staff fails to completely move themselves within their job within the response to such quite management. The construct staff engagement is made on the muse of earlier ideas like job satisfaction, staff commitment and structure citizenship behavior. Though it's related to and encompasses these ideas, staff engagement is broader in scope. Staff engrossment is stronger predictor of positive structure performance clearly showing the two-way relationship between leader and staff compared to the three earlier constructs: job satisfaction, staff commitment and structure citizenship behavior. Engaged staff square measure emotionally attached to their organization and very involved in their job with an honest enthusiasm for the success of their leader, going extra mile on the so much facet the employment official document.

**Keywords:** staff engagement, staff commitment, structure citizenship behaviour, Job satisfaction, staff engrossment.

### Introduction

Managers unambiguously agree that this century demands extra efficiency and productivity than the opposite times in history. Businesses square measure endeavor to increase their performance. Managers square measure grappling with many challenges to succeed golf stroke their company prior to competitors. To help managers manage, fully totally different students, researchers and consultants square measure causative their showing the foremost effective ways in which they suppose square measure useful to managers. Among those prompt techniques, ideas like Total Quality Management (TQM) and Business Process Reengineering (BPR) earned recognition from many authors inside the second half of twentieth century and were found helpful in increasing structure performance by specializing in operational and methodology enhancements. They were/still being utilized as tools for management in their effort to line up, execute and management of the specified changes inside the operational quality.

Thanks to the latest technology, nowadays business organizations' measure making use of advanced techniques of operation. As sophistication of technologies continues to evolve, they because extra challenges for managers as a result of organizations will need to would love extra kind of staff with increased technical and trained skills. These info staff cannot be managed with previous varieties of totalitarian management. They expect operational autonomy, job satisfaction and standing. It's attributable to these facts that spotlight of managers is shifting towards employees' facet of organizations. From half-moon of twentieth century forward, ideas like staff commitment and structure Citizenship Behavior (OCB) began to appear on very cheap that efficiency and productivity lie among the employees' ability and commitment. Managers' eye is on the thanks to keep staff engaged in their job. Employers presently notice that by specializing in staff engagement, they will turn out extra economical and productive workforce. Any initiatives of improvement that square measure taken by management cannot be fruitful whereas not willful involvement and engagement of

**Correspondence:**

**Hari Krishna. Kairi**  
Assistant Professor (Mba),  
Sphoorthy Engineering  
College, Nadargul, Hyderabad  
Telangana, India

staff. Staff engagement as a concept is giant. This text limits itself to discussion along the basic ideas on staff engagement supported recent literatures. Its four major components. Firstly, the article explores the evolution of the thought, its definition and also the manner it's fully totally different from the earlier ideas like Commitment, structure Citizenship Behavior (OCB) and job satisfaction. Secondly, this paper discusses on the factors or drivers leading to engrossment. Thirdly, it details the impact of staff engagement on structure performance indicators or business outcomes like profit, shopper satisfaction, company growth, productivity et al declaring its blessings and importance to organizations. Finally, the article suggests strategies the companies need to take up to remain staff engaged in their jobs.

### **Evolution of staff Engagement and its Definition**

#### **Evolution of staff Engagement**

Most of the references relate staff engagement to survey homes and consultancies. It's less taken as a tutorial construct. The thought is relatively new for HRM and appeared inside the literatures for nearly twenty years (Rafferty, Maben, West and Robinson, 2005; Melcrom publication, 2005; Ellis and Sorensen, 2007). The staff engrossment emanates from two ideas that have won academic recognition and square measure the themes of empirical research-Commitment and structure subject Behavior (OCB) (Robinson, Perryman and Hayday, 2004; Rafferty et al., 2005). Staff engagement is analogous to and overlaps with the upper than two ideas.

Robinson et al. (2004) state that neither commitment nor OCB mirror sufficiently two aspects of engagement-its two-way nature, and conjointly the extent to it engaged staff square measure expected to possess a part of business awareness, though it appears that engagement overlaps with the two ideas. Rafferty et al (2005) to boot distinguish staff engagement and conjointly the two previous concepts-Commitment and OCB; on very cheap that engagement clearly demonstrates that it is a two-way mutual methodology between the staff and conjointly the organization.

#### **Definition of staff Engagement**

Till date, there isn't any single and usually accepted definition for the term staff engagement. Usually this can be often evident if one look at the definitions forwarded for the term by three well-known analysis organizations in human resource area, as well as individual researchers. Below square measure the definitions:

Perrin's international workforce Study (2003) uses the definition "employees' temperament and ability to help their company succeed, for the foremost half by providing discretionary effort on a property basis." per the study, engagement is laid low with many factors that involve every emotional and rational factors concerning work and conjointly the work experience.

Gallup organization defines staff engagement as a result of the involvement with and enthusiasm for work. City as cited by Dernovsek (2008) likens staff engagement to a positive employees' emotional attachment and employees' commitment.

Robinson et al. (2004) define staff engagement as "a positive angle management by the staff towards the organization and its price. Associate engaged staff is tuned

in to business context, and works with colleagues to spice up performance among the task for the advantage of the organization. The organization ought to work to develop and nurture engrossment that desires a two-way relationship between leader and staff." This finding and definition forwarded by Institute of Employment Studies offers a clear insight that staff engagement is that the results of two-way relationship between leader and staff declaring that there square measure things to be done by both sides. Moreover, Fernandez (2007) shows the excellence between job satisfaction, the well-known construct in management, and engagement competitive that staff satisfaction is not the same as staff engagement and since managers cannot place confidence in staff satisfaction to help retain the foremost effective and conjointly the brightest, staff engagement becomes a vital thought. various researchers take job satisfaction as a section of engagement, but it'll merely mirror a superficial, transactional relationship that is alone just about nearly as good as a result of the organization's last spherical of perks and bonuses; Engagement is concerning passion and commitment-the temperament to take a position oneself and expand one's discretionary effort to help the leader succeed, that's on the so much facet simple satisfaction with the employment arrangement or basic loyalty to the leader (BlessingWhite, 2008; Erickson, 2005; Macey and Schnieder, 2008). Therefore, the whole engagement equation is obtained by aligning most job satisfaction and most job contribution. Author Young, the manager director of Towers Perrin, to boot distinguishes between job satisfaction and engagement competitive that alone engagement (not satisfaction) is that the strongest predictor of structure performance (Human Resources, 2007).

Recent researches to boot indicate that staff commitment and OCB square measure necessary components and predictors of staff engagement in this commitment is conceptualized as positive attachment and temperament to exert energy for fulfillment of the organization, feeling proud of being a member of that organization and characteristic oneself with it and OCB could also be a behaviour determined among the work context that demonstrates itself through taking

innovative initiatives proactively seeking opportunities to contribute one's best and going extra mile on the so much facet contract. However, these constructs represent the larger construct staff engagement which they cannot severally act as a replacement for engagement (Macey and Schneider, 2008; Robinson et al, 2004). The dangerous news for management is that international surveys conducted by survey homes and analysis organizations indicate that vital size of staff square measure disengaged being skeptical of any structure initiative or communication and rather extra potential pampering in contagious negativity (Dernovsek, 2008; Perrin, 2003; Ellis and Sorensen, 2007; BlessingWhite, 2008). The matter with these surveys is that they use their own things to measure staff engagement. If confirmed the accessible literatures on menstruation staff engagement, one would get amazingly several activity things to the extent that it seems fully totally different constructs square measure being measured (Robinson et al, 2004; Cohen and Higgins, 2007; Perrin, 2003; Ellis and Sorensen, 2007; Dernovsek, 2008). Future researches square measure expected to return make a copy with clear definition and dimensions of staff engagement

on basis of that the extent of engagement square measure usually measured thereby declaring to managers the roadmap for fully partaking staff in their job. As a result of the previous language goes “what you can’t live, you can’t manage”. Thus, there is a imply future researches, as prompt by Endres and Mancheno-Smoak (2008), to stipulate engagement in clear terms to avoid interpretation by sequent users giving to the construct fully totally different meanings.

**Drivers of staff Engagement**

Studies have shown that actively engaged staff square measure nearly fifty % additional productive than their not-engaged or disengaged colleagues. The staff engagement cannot be improved solely by planning and implementing effective human resource ways however their involvement and quality of output made by them conjointly depends on their relationships with their colleagues, subordinates and seniors. It’s a basic want of individuals to belong and to be belonged. Such collaborations is a significant contributor to the success of a corporation.

Until recently, solutions facilitating two-way communication as well as top-to-bottom and bottom-to-top got a lot of vital however nothing has been done to foster the open communication and collaborations among staff. The manner they move with one another determines the health of any organization. An ideal balance of respect, care and aggressiveness ought to be prevailed within the organization to stay them actively engaged in their jobs.

Empowering staff by relegation them responsibilities and giving them autonomy to require selections concerning their job on their own may also increase their productivity. Its price going on the far side the normal management tools of property to assist staff stay actuated and dedicated to perform their tasks. To realize this, the organizations will style effective staff engagement ways on the premise of the model explained below.

**Amalgamate the Experiences:** Conduct associate staff engagement survey so as to seek out the factors accountable for participating and disengaging staff. Unify the common experiences and issues and style staff engagement ways consequently. Sharing of feedback in written is a technique of communication the experiences and issues.

**Progress through Open Communication:** Open communication within the style of discussions will extremely facilitate in conveyance the varied problems and distinguishing the most issues within the organization. It’s terribly essential to determine a correct communication wherever everybody will place their views and counsel an answer too. Most of the highest organizations raise suggestions and new ideas from their staff so supply rewards on giving the most effective proposal.

**Providing correct Communication Channels:** Some staff square measure comfy with open face-to-face communication designs whereas there square measure some WHO need to offer feedbacks and suggestions in written. Discovering the most effective channel of communication and establishing a correct route to share feedbacks and views plays an important role.

**Optional spoken language Fluidity:** Whichever manner of communication you select, make sure that its needed fluidness. There shouldn’t be any hindrance within the established methodology of communication. Not having the ability to supply feedback or share issues and experiences will cause frustration and distress among staff. Therefore, make sure that there are not any barriers to communication. This may conjointly lead to disengaging the engaged staff.

**Manage Communication:** Managing communication is that the last however the foremost vital step within the entire method. Managers ought to keep a check on the complete method so as to make sure that it's not adversely poignant the health of the organization. They have to check that that it serves the specified purpose and isn't getting used negatively.

**Employee perceptions of job importance** – "...an employee's attitude toward the job's importance and the company had the greatest impact on loyalty and customer service than all other employee factors combined."

**Perceptions of the quintessence and values of the organization:** "Inspirational leadership is the ultimate perk. In its absence, is unlikely to engage employees."

**Effective internal employee communications:** which convey a clear description of "what's going on". The researchers have tried to identify factors which lead to staff engagement and developed models to draw implications for managers. Their designation aims to check the drivers that will increase staff engagement level. According to Penna analysis report (2007) which means at work has the potential to be valuable manner of conveyance employers and staff nearer on to the advantage of everywhere staff experience some way of community, the world to be themselves and conjointly the possibility to create a contribution, they notice which means. Staff got to figure inside the organizations throughout that they notice which means at work. Penna (2007) researchers have to boot come back up with a current model they called “Hierarchy of engagement” that resembles Maslow’s would love hierarchy model. Inside very cheap line there square measure basic needs of pay and blessings. Once associate staff glad these needs, then the staff look to development opportunities, the possibility for promotion then leadership vogue square measure introduced to the mixture inside the model. Finally, once all the upper than cited lower level aspirations square measure glad the staff look to associate alignment of value-meaning, that’s displayed by a true sense of affiliation, a customary purpose and a shared sense of which means at work.

The Blessing White (2006) study has found that with reference to two third’s (60%) of the surveyed staff want extra opportunities to grow forward to remain glad in their jobs. durable manager-employee relationship could also be a vital ingredient inside the staff engagement and retention formula.

Development Dimensions International (DDI, 2005) states that a manager ought to do five things to create an extraordinarily engaged workforce. They are:

Align efforts with strategy Empower Promote and encourage cooperation and collaboration facilitate people grow and develop Provide support and recognition where applicable The Towers Perrin Talent Report (2003) identifies the very best ten work place attributes which might cause staff engagement. The very best three among the ten drivers listed by Perrin are: Senior management's interest in employees' well-being, tough work and better noesis authority.

After live 10,000 NHS staff in nice uk of nice Great Britain and European country, Institute of Employment Studies (Robinson et al., 2004) points out that the key driver of staff engagement could also be a way of feeling valued and anxious, that has the weather like involvement in higher noesis, the extent to it staff feel able to voice their ideas, the opportunities staff need to develop their jobs and conjointly the extent to it the organization cares for employees' health and well-being.

CIPD (2006) on the premise of its survey of 2000 staff from across nice uk of nice Great Britain and European country indicates that communication is that the prime priority to steer staff to engagement. The report singles out having the possibility to feed their views and opinions upwards as a result of the foremost important driver of people's engagement. The report to boot identifies the importance of being unbroken knowing concerning what is happening inside the organization. The oldest consulting organization in conducting engagement survey, city has found that the manager is that the key to associate engaged manpower. James Clifton, chief military officer of city organization indicates that staff international organization agency have shut friendships at work square measure extra engaged staff (Clifton, 2008). Vance (2006) explains the particular indisputable fact that staff engagement is inextricably plus leader practices. To shed light-weight on the {ways that|ways in that} throughout which leader practices have a control on job performance and engagement, he presents employment performance model. per him, staff engagement is that the end result of personal attributes like info, skills, abilities, temperament, attitudes and temperament, structure context which contains leadership, physical setting and social setting and unit of time practices that directly have a control on the person, methodology and context components of job performance. Most drivers that square measure found to steer to staff engagement square measure non-financial in their nature. Therefore, any organization international organization agency has committed leadership will do the specified level of engagement with less price of doing it. This does not mean that managers need to ignore the cash aspect of their staff. In fact, performance needs to be plus reward. Even so, usually|this can be} often simply to repeat the previous language of Human Relations Movement that works "as social being, human resource is not driven by money alone." As Buckingham and Coffman (2005) said, pay and blessings square measure equally necessary to every staff, good or dangerous. A company's pay need to a minimum of be love the market average. However, conveyance pay and blessings package up to plug levels, that will be a sensible starting, will not take an organization very far-they are like tickets to the ballpark, -they can get the company into the game, but can't facilitate it win.

### Staff Engagement and structure Performance

Why need to organizations invest in staff engagement? The answer is as a result of staff engagement is interlocking significantly with necessary business outcomes. Throughout this, we'll see but staff engagement impacts structure performance inside the light-weight of varied analysis works done.

Studies have found positive relationship between staff engagement and structure performance outcomes: staff retention, productivity, profit, shopper loyalty and safety. Researches to boot indicate that the extra engaged staff square measure, the extra potential their leader is to exceed the trade average in its revenue growth. Staff engagement is found to be higher in double-digit growth organizations. Analysis to boot indicates that engagement is completely related to shopper satisfaction (Coffman, 2000; Ellis and Sorensen, 2007; Towers Perrin Talent Report, 2003; Hewitt Associates, 2004; Heintzman and Marson, 2005; Coffman and Gonzalez-Molina, 2002).

Engaged staff consistently demonstrates three general behaviors that improve structure performance:

Say-the staff advocates for the organization to co-employees, and refers potential staff and customers

Stay-the staff has associate intense need to be a member of the organization despite opportunities to work elsewhere Strive-the staff exerts on the far side regular time, effort and initiative to contribute to the success of the business ( Baumruk and Gorman, 2006)

What will happen to a corporation if its staff square measure disengaged? staff international organization agency are not engaged square measure potential to be spinning (wasting their effort and talent on tasks which is able to not matter much), subsidence (certainly do not show full commitment, not discontent enough to create a break) and rending (they are not projected around for things to change in their organization), have far more misgivings concerning their organization in terms of performance measures like shopper satisfaction (BlessingWhite, 2006; Perrin Report, 2003). Meere (2005) supported the survey conducted by ISR on three60000 staff from forty one organizations inside the world's 10 economically durable countries finds that every operative margin and financial gain margins reduced over a 3 year quantity in organizations with low engagement, whereas these measures increased over the specified quantity in organizations with high levels of engagement.

Financial News, March 2001, as cited by Accord Management Systems (2004), reveals that disengaged staff square measure extra potential to price their organization. Per the report, staff international organization agency square measure disengaged:

Miss a median of 3.5 extra days every year square measure less productive

### Staff Engagement strategies

So far we've mentioned the evolution and definition of staff engagement, the factors that have a control on that and importance of staff engagement explaining but it's coupled to business performance. Now, at this stage any inquisitive reader may raise a question: therefore what? Staff engagement strategies listed below answer this question. Therefore on own engaged staff in any organization, managers need to examine the next ten points. We'll call these points "tablets" as a results of its believed that they're

going to cure staff disengagement diseases. Take these ten tablets:

**Begin it on day one:** Most organizations do have clear new talent acquisition strategies. However, they lack staff retention strategies. Effective accomplishment and orientation programs square measure the first building blocks to be organized on the first day of the new staff. Managers need to use caution in pooling out the potential talent of the new staff through effective accomplishment. The recently utilized staff need to lean every general orientation that's aforesaid to the company mission, vision, values, policies and procedures and job-specific orientation like his/her job duties, and responsibilities, goals and current priorities of the department to it the staff belongs therefore on modify him/her to develop realistic job expectations and reduce role conflict that will arise inside the long run. Once the hiring decision is created, the manager must guarantee role-talent match once inserting associate staff in associate passing sure position and exert all group action efforts needed to retain that talent inside the organization.

**Begin it from the top:** staff engagement desires leadership commitment through establishing clear mission, vision and values. Unless the people at the very best believe it, own it, pass it right all the way down to managers and staff, and enhance their leadership, staff engagement will never be over merely a "corporate fad" or "another unit of time thing". Staff engagement does not would love lip-service rather dedicated heart and action-oriented service from prime management. It desires "Leading by Being example"

**Enhance staff engagement through two-way communication:** Managers need to promote two-way communication. Staff are not sets of pots to it you pour out your ideas whereas not giving them a chance to possess a say on issues that pertain their job and life. Clear and consistent communication of what is expected of them paves the way for engaged workforce. Involve your people and endlessly show relevance their input. Share power at the side of your staff through participative higher noesis therefore they'd feel sense of belongingness thereby increasing their engagement in realizing it.

**offer satisfactory opportunities for development and advancement:** Encourage freelance thinking through giving them extra job autonomy therefore staff will have a chance to create their own freedom of choosing their own best manner of doing their job acknowledgement as they are producing the expected result. Manage through results rather than making an attempt to manage all the processes by that that results achieved.

**Motivate the staff have every issue they need to do to their jobs:** Managers square measure expected to create positive that staff have all the resources like physical or material, cash and knowledge resources therefore on effectively do their job.

**Offer staff applicable training:** facilitate staff update themselves increasing their info and skills through giving applicable trainings. Usually it's understood that after staff get to know extra concerning their job, their confidence can

increase there by having the flexibility to work whereas not exuberant superintendence from their immediate managers that in turn builds their self-efficacy and commitment.

**Have durable feedback system:** organizations need to develop a performance management system that holds managers and staff on top of things of the extent of engagement they have shown. Conducting regular survey of staff engagement level helps perceive factors that make staff engaged. Once finalizing the survey, it's counseled to check all the factors that driving engagement inside the organization, then slender down the list of things to target two or three areas. it is necessary that organizations begin with level on the factors that will produce the foremost distinction to the employees and place energy around up these areas as a result of its conjointly hard to cope with all factors directly. Managers need to be behind such survey results and develop action-oriented plans that square measure specific, measurable, and accountable and time-sure.

**Incentives have a section to play:** Managers need to estimate every cash and non-financial blessings for staffs' international organization agency show extra engagement in their jobs. Several management theories have indicated that when staff gets extra pay, recognition and praise, they need a bent to exert extra effort into their job. There need to be a clear link between performance and incentives given to the employees.

**Build a specific company culture:** organizations need to promote a strong work culture throughout that the goals and values of managers' square measure aligned across all work sections. Organizations that build a culture of mutual respect by keeping success stories alive will not alone keep their existing staff engaged but to boot they baptize the new incoming staff with this contagious spirit of labor culture.

**Target top-performing staff:** A study conducted by Watson Wyatt Worldwide in 2004/05 on unit of time practices of fifty large USA organizations shows that high-performing organizations square measure specializing in par taking their top-performing employees. Per the finding of the same analysis, what high-performing organizations do is what prime-performing staff square measure requesting and this reduces the turnover of high-performing staff and as a result leads to high business performance. Note that there is lack of enough literature on what could also be the challenges that entangle with leaders' effort to spice up their staff engagement scores. Most researches on the planet target characteristic the drivers or factors leading to engagement; however, failing to purpose clearly articulated strategies to urge staff engaged in their work. The prompt strategies will definitely have cash implications on organizations. However, researches do not limpidly show the worth of efforts double-g geared toward increasing staff engagement.

### Conclusion

till date, there isn't any usually accepted definition for staff engagement. However, there is growing agreement among the authors that the construct is distinguishable from connected ideas in management like staff commitment,

structure citizenship behavior and job satisfaction in such a fashion that staff engagement clearly reflects the two-way exchange of effort between staff and employers, and it's stretched which means on the so many facets an equivalent constructs. Analysis on engagement remains on its infancy, making an attempt to return makes a copy with extra clear-cut and acceptable definition. Most of the studies demonstrate that feeling valued by management, two-way communication between management and staff, management's interest in staffs' well-being and giving extra opportunities for staffs to grow square measure the very best drivers of employee engagement. Even so, as studies indicate, staff do not offer exuberant importance to pay and blessings. This may be the case as a result of the bulk the surveys were created in organizations operational in economically-well-to-do countries. The priorities of drivers may have varied if similar surveys were undergone in various accumulation countries, like African countries. Therefore, there is a want for added international surveys yet as additional kind of nations.

The literatures indicate that staff engagement is closely plus structure performance outcomes. Organizations with engaged staff have higher staff retention as a results of reduced turnover and reduced intention to depart the company, productivity, profit, and growth and shopper satisfaction. On the other hand, organizations with disengaged staff suffer from waste of effort and bleed talent, earn less commitment from the employees, face increased absence and have less shopper orientation, less productivity, and reduced operative margins and financial gain margins. Most researches emphasize merely the importance and positive impacts of staff engagement on the business outcomes, failing to provide the abstract thought for engagement alternatives. Because the alternative management alternatives, engagement decision need to be evaluated in terms of every it's blessings and its associated costs, whereas not giving larger stress to neither of the two, to not bias the selection makers. Therefore there is a want to examine the worth aspect of engagement alternatives. The outstanding truth is, the findings of today's researches, square measure usually used as corner stone for the building of complete essence to the construct. Moreover, exuberant of the works related to "employee engagement" construct is attributed to survey homes and consultancies. Therefore, there is a want for domain to investigate this new construct and square measure offered up with a clear definition and dimensions that will be used for mensuration of staff engagement justifying the importance of engagement thought. Otherwise, it will expire shortly as many various human resource fads did.

Findings of varied researches suggest their own strategies therefore on keep staff engaged. Here throughout this text ten points or strategies called 'the ten tablets' were prompt to remain staff engaged. For managers, work of staff engagement starts at day one through effective accomplishment and orientation program, the work of staff engagement begins from the very best as a result of it's out of the question to possess engaged people inside the organizations where there are no engaged leadership. Managers need to enhance two-way communication, check that that staff have all the resources they need to do to their job, offer applicable employment to increase their info and talent, establish reward mechanisms throughout that good job is rewarded through varied cash and non-financial

incentives, build a specific company culture that encourages toil and keeps success stories alive, develop a strong performance management system that holds managers and staff.

## References

1. Accord Management Systems. (2004). Employee Engagement Strategy: A Strategy of Analysis to Move from Employee Satisfaction to Engagement. [Online] Available: [www.accordsyst.com/papers/engagement\\_wp.pdf](http://www.accordsyst.com/papers/engagement_wp.pdf) (March 3, 2009)
2. Baumruk R., and Gorman B. (2006). *Why managers are crucial to increasing engagement*. Melcrum Publishing.
3. Blessing White. (2006). Employee Engagement Report 2006 BlessingWhite, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey. [Online] Available: [www.blessingwhite.com](http://www.blessingwhite.com) (November 15, 2008)
4. Blessing White. (2008). the Employee Engagement Equation in India. Presented by BlessingWhite and HR Anexi. [Online] Available: [www.blessingwhite.com](http://www.blessingwhite.com) (November 15, 2008)
5. Buckingham M., and Coffman C. (2005). *First, break all the rules*. Pocket Books, London.
6. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. (2006). Reflections on employee Engagement: Change Agenda. CIPD: London. [Online] Available: <http://www.cipd.co.uk/changeagendas> (November 10, 2008)
8. Clifton, James K. (2008). Engaging your employees: Six keys to understanding the new workplace. 2002 SHRM Foundation Thought Leaders Remarks. *Society for Human Resource Management*
9. Coffman C. (2000). *Is Your Company Bleeding Talent? How to become a true "employer of choice"*. *The Gallup Management Journal*, 2000. The Gallup Organization, Princeton, NJ
10. Coffman, C., and Gonzalez-Molina, G. (2002). *Follow this Path: How the world's greatest organizations drive growth by unleashing human potential*. New York Warner Books, Inc.
11. Cohen G., and Higgins N. J. (2007). Employee Engagement: The secret of highly performing organizations.
12. *Journal of Applied Human Capital Management*, Vol 1 Number 2007.
13. Dernovsek D. (2008). Creating highly engaged and committed employee starts at the top and ends at the bottom line Credit Union Magazine, May 2008. Credit Union National Association, Inc.
14. Development Dimensions International. (2005). (Predicting Employee Engagement MRKSRR12-1005 Development Dimensions International, Inc., MMV. [Online] Available: [www.ddiworld.com](http://www.ddiworld.com) (October 30, 2008)
15. Ellis C. M., and Sorensen A. (2007). Assessing Employee Engagement: The Key to Improving Productivity.
16. Perspectives, vol.15, Issue 1 The Segal Group, Inc.
17. Endres G. M., and Mancheno-Smoak L. (2008). The Human resource Craze: Human Performance Improvement and Employee Engagement. *Organizational Development Journal*, Spring 2008; 26,

- 1; ABI/ INFORM Global pg 69-78
18. Erickson, T.J. (2005). Testimony submitted before the US Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labour and Pensions, May 26.
  19. Fernandez. C.P. (2007). Employee engagement. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*. [Online] Available: <http://find.galegroup.com>. (October 30, 2008)
  20. Heintzman R., and Marson B. (2005). People, service and trust: Links in a public sector service value chain.
  21. *International Review of Administrative Studies*, Vol 7 (4) December 2005, pp 549-575.
  22. Hewitt Associates. (2004). Employee engagement higher at double digit growth companies. *Research Brief*.
  23. Hewitt associates LLC.
  24. <http://global.factiva.com/ha/default.aspx>. (October 28, 2008)
  25. Melcrum publishing. (2005). *Employee engagement: How to build a high-performance workforce*. An independent Melcrum Research Report Executive Summary.
  26. "Employee Engagement". Emprtrust. Retrieved 11 August 2017.
  27. Konrad, Alison M. (March 2006). "Engaging Employees through High-Involvement Work Practices". *Ivey Business Journal*. Retrieved 2006-11-14.
  28. Wilkinson, Adrien; et al. (2004). "Changing patterns of employee voice". *Journal of Industrial Relations*. 46, 3 (3): 298–322. doi:10.1111/j.0022-1856.2004.00143.x.
  29. "Employee Commitment". Susan de la Vergne. 2005. Archived from the original on 2012-12-05. Retrieved 2013-04-30.
  30. "Employee Commitment Remains Unchanged..." Watson Wyatt Worldwide. 2002. Archived from the original on 2007-09-27. Retrieved 2006-11-07.
  31. Bockerman, Petri; Ilmakunnas, Pekka (2012). "The Job Satisfaction-productivity Nexus: A Study Using Matched Survey and Register Data". *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*. 65 (2): 244–262.
  32. "Engage Employees and Boost Performance" (PDF). Hay Group. 2002. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2006-11-23. Retrieved 2006-11-09.
  33. Hulme, Virginia A. (March 2006). "What Distinguishes the Best from the Rest". *China Business Review*.
  34. Lofthouse, Charlie. "Building a thank you culture at work". Reward Gateway. Retrieved 19 May 2015.
  35. Ryan, Richard M.; Edward L. Deci (January 2000). "Self-Determination Theory and Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being" (PDF). *American Psychologist*. 55: 68–78. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.68. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2006-12-12. Retrieved 2006-11-06.
  36. Hellevig, Jon (2012) "Employee Engagement in Russia" An Awara Guide, p.29 "Link" (PDF).
  37. Harter, James K.; Frank L. Schmidt & Corey L. M. Keyes (2003). "Well-Being in the Workplace and its Relationships to Business Outcomes" (PDF). *Flourishing: the Positive Person and the Good Life*: 205–244. Retrieved 2006-11-08.
  38. Briner, Rob B (July 2014). "An Evidence-Based Approach to Employee Engagement". Retrieved 2014-09-11. BlessingWhite (December 2010). "Employee Engagement Report 2011". Archived from the original on 2010-12-12. Retrieved 2010-12-12. Tourish, D; Pinnington, A (2002). "Transformational leadership, corporate cultism and the spirituality paradigm: an unholy trinity in the workplace?" *Human Relations*. 55: 147–172. doi:10.1177/0018726702055002181. Retrieved 2014-01-03.
  39. Curran, Ross; Taheri, Babak; MacIntosh, Robert; O’Gorman, Kevin. "Nonprofit Brand Heritage". *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*. 45 (6): 1234–1257. doi:10.1177/0899764016633532
  40. Perrin T. (2003). Working Today: Understanding What Drives Employee Engagement the 2003 Towers Perrin Talent Report U.S Report. [Online] Available: [http://www.towersperrin.com/tp/getwebcachedoc?Webc=HRS/USA/2003/200309/Talent\\_2003.pdf](http://www.towersperrin.com/tp/getwebcachedoc?Webc=HRS/USA/2003/200309/Talent_2003.pdf) (October 30, 2008)
  41. Rafferty A. M., Maben J., West E., and Robinson D. (2005). *What makes a good employer?* Issue Paper 3 International Council of Nurses Geneva
  42. Vance R. J. (2006). Employee Engagement and Commitment SHRM Foundation, USA
  43. Watson Wyatt Worldwide. (2005). Employee Engagement and Talent Management. [Online] Available:
  44. [www.watsonwyatt.com](http://www.watsonwyatt.com) (March 3, 2009)