



WWJMRD 2023; 9(12): 41-45
www.wwjmr.com
International Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal
Refereed Journal
Indexed Journal
Impact Factor SJIF 2017:
5.182 2018: 5.51, (ISI) 2020-
2021: 1.361
E-ISSN: 2454-6615

G. Banazeer Banu

Ph.D. Research Scholar
Department of English
Anna University, University
College of Engineering, BIT
Campus, Tiruchirappalli,
Tamilnadu, India.

S. Gunasekaran

Assistant Professor (Senior
Grade) Department of English
Anna University, University
College of Engineering, BIT
Campus, Tiruchirappalli,
Tamilnadu, India.

Correspondence:

G. Banazeer Banu

Ph.D. Research Scholar
Department of English
Anna University, University
College of Engineering, BIT
Campus, Tiruchirappalli,
Tamilnadu, India.

Satirical Reflections on Wealth: Exploring the Absurdities of The Financial Realm in Stephen Leacock's 'My Financial Career'

G.Banazeer Banu, S.Gunasekaran

Abstract

This paper explores the satirical nuances embedded within Stephen Leacock's classic work, "My Financial Career," shedding light on the hilariously absurd aspects of the financial realm. Through a meticulous analysis, we delve into the intricate layers of Leacock's narrative, dissecting the comical portrayals of financial institutions, societal expectations, and the often-irrational behavior associated with wealth management. The article aims to contribute to the scholarly understanding of satire as a powerful tool for social commentary, utilizing Leacock's work as a lens to scrutinize and critique the prevailing absurdities within the world of finance.

Keywords: Satire, Financial World, Stephen Leacock, Social Commentary, Wealth Management, Literary Analysis.

1. Introduction

In the realm of literature, satire has proven to be a formidable instrument for dissecting societal norms, exposing absurdities, and provoking contemplation. Stephen Leacock's classic work, "My Financial Career," stands as a timeless example of satirical prowess, unraveling the intricacies of the financial world through the lens of humor. As we embark on a journey through the narrative's comical twists and turns, this paper endeavors to meticulously explore the layers of satire embedded within the text, ultimately shedding light on the profound social commentary that underlies the laughter.

Leacock's narrative unfolds with a protagonist navigating the perplexing world of finance, and his misadventures serve as a canvas for the author's incisive critique. The title, "Laughing at Wealth: Unveiling the Absurdities of the Financial World in 'My Financial Career,'" encapsulates the essence of our exploration—an expedition into the heart of Leacock's satire, where laughter becomes a gateway to understanding the deeper implications of wealth, success, and societal expectations.

This paper sets out to demonstrate that beyond its comedic surface, "My Financial Career" serves as a powerful tool for social commentary. By employing a multidisciplinary approach that integrates literary analysis with insights from economics and social criticism, we aim to uncover the nuanced layers of satire at play. Through this exploration, we seek to not only appreciate the literary brilliance of Leacock but also to draw connections between the fictional absurdities of the narrative and the very real complexities of the financial world.

As we navigate the landscape of Leacock's wit, this introduction serves as an invitation to delve into the intersections of humor, literature, and socio-economic critique. By doing so, we hope to unravel the satirical genius that transforms "My Financial Career" into more than a whimsical tale—it becomes a mirror reflecting the enduring absurdities within the financial sphere and an opportunity for readers to laugh, reflect, and, perhaps, reassess their own perspectives on wealth and success.

2. Objectives of The Study

2.1. Uncover Satirical Techniques: Analyze and identify the various satirical techniques employed by Stephen Leacock in "My Financial Career," including but not limited to irony,

exaggeration, and humor, to understand how these elements contribute to the overall satire within the narrative.

2.2. Literary Analysis: Conduct a thorough literary analysis of key passages in "My Financial Career" to elucidate how Leacock uses language, characterization, and narrative structure to convey satirical commentary on the financial world.

2.3. Multidisciplinary Approach: Integrate insights from economics, literature, and social commentary to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intersections between Leacock's satire and real-world financial absurdities, emphasizing the multidisciplinary nature of the analysis.

2.4. Socio-Economic Critique: Contextualize the satire within the broader socio-economic landscape, exploring how Leacock's humor serves as a vehicle for incisive social critique. Examine the implications of societal attitudes towards wealth and success portrayed in the narrative.

2.5. Relevance to Contemporary Issues: Draw parallels between the fictional events in "My Financial Career" and contemporary financial scenarios, demonstrating the enduring relevance of Leacock's social critique and its applicability to present-day financial absurdities.

2.6. Contribute to Satire Scholarship: Contribute to the academic discourse on satire by offering a nuanced examination of "My Financial Career" as a satirical masterpiece, emphasizing its significance in the broader context of literary satire.

2.7. Prompt Reflection and Discussion: Encourage readers to reflect on the societal norms and expectations surrounding wealth and success, sparking discussions about the profound truths embedded in Leacock's satire and their implications for contemporary society.

2.8. Position "My Financial Career" in Academic Discourse: Establish the literary significance of "My Financial Career" as more than a humorous narrative, positioning it as a timeless work that merits serious scholarly attention for its exploration of financial absurdities and societal expectations.

By addressing these objectives, the research article aims to provide a comprehensive and insightful analysis of "My Financial Career," enriching the understanding of satire as a tool for social commentary within the context of the financial world.

3. Significance of The Study

3.1. Literary Appreciation Enhances the appreciation of Stephen Leacock's literary genius by dissecting the intricate layers of satire in "My Financial Career," contributing to a deeper understanding of the work as a classic piece of humor and social commentary.

3.2. Satire Scholarship: Contributes to the broader field of satire scholarship by offering a detailed analysis of satirical techniques employed by Leacock, showcasing how humor can be a powerful medium for critiquing societal norms, particularly within the financial context.

3.3. Interdisciplinary Insights: Demonstrates the value of interdisciplinary approaches by merging literary analysis with insights from economics and social commentary, fostering a richer understanding of the intersections between literature and real-world socio-economic dynamics.

3.4. Reflection on Wealth and Success: Prompts readers to reflect on their own perspectives and societal attitudes towards wealth and success, encouraging critical thinking

about the absurdities inherent in societal expectations and financial pursuits.

3.5. Contemporary Relevance: Establishes the enduring relevance of "My Financial Career" by drawing parallels between the fictional narrative and contemporary financial issues, illustrating how the satire remains pertinent in addressing present-day absurdities within the financial world.

3.6. Educational Tool: Serves as an educational tool for students, scholars, and educators interested in literature, satire, and socio-economic critique, providing a case study that can be employed in classrooms to foster discussions on humor, literature, and societal values.

3.7. Cultural and Social Commentary: Offers insights into the cultural and social commentary embedded in Leacock's work, highlighting how humor can be a powerful means of critiquing societal norms and challenging preconceived notions about wealth and success.

3.8. Academic Discourse: Contributes to ongoing academic discourse by positioning "My Financial Career" as a work deserving serious scholarly attention, further elevating its status within the canon of literary works that provide insightful commentaries on societal structures.

Intellectual Enrichment:

Provides intellectual enrichment by encouraging readers to engage with the text on multiple levels, fostering a nuanced appreciation for the complexities of satire, literature, and their role in shaping societal perspectives.

3.9. Catalyst for Further Research: Acts as a catalyst for further research on satire in literature and its implications for societal critique, inspiring scholars to delve deeper into the intersection of humor, literature, and socio-economic themes.

This study holds significance in its contribution to literary appreciation, satire scholarship, interdisciplinary understanding, societal reflection, and educational value, ultimately enriching intellectual discourse and encouraging further exploration of the themes presented in "My Financial Career."

4. Discussion and Findings

Stephen Leacock, a distinguished scholar of Political Economy and Political Science, has garnered global acclaim for his exceptional wit and humor. He occupies a prestigious position among the world's humorists, showcasing an extraordinary talent for infusing humor into mundane daily affairs. Leacock's writings bear the hallmark of his keen observational skills and his astute critique of the follies inherent in the human condition. His ordinary yet spirited characters consistently delight readers worldwide.

Leacock's literary prowess is particularly evident in his mastery of the comic sketch, where he acts as a literary miniaturist, meticulously honing details to create a rich and humorous narrative. While his subject matter spans a wide range, there is a consistent appeal to the sensibilities of the middle class. His humor often stems from the portrayal of frustration or mild victimization, exemplified in works such as 'My Financial Career,' where the little man grapples with the complexities of large, faceless corporate structures (Stephen Leacock Essay, www.enotes.com).

'My Financial Career' stands as one of Leacock's earlier autobiographical pieces, using humor to satirize social absurdities and the irrational behavior of bureaucrats towards common individuals, as experienced by the

narrator. The narrative vividly illustrates how bureaucratic encounters, especially within institutions like banks, evoke fear and distrust among ordinary citizens.

The title of the story itself adds an element of humor, teasing readers with the expectation of a grandiose tale of financial triumphs and setbacks involving investments in shares, land acquisitions, or gold. However, this expectation is swiftly dispelled as the story unfolds to reveal the mundane life of an ordinary employee content with a modest monthly income. Even a minor increment of fifty dollars prompts him to seek the safety of the bank for his savings.

The narrative captures the narrator's unease and apprehension when dealing with the bank, humorously expressing, "When I go into a bank, I get rattled. The clerks rattle me; the wickets rattle me; the sight of the money rattles me; everything rattles me" (The Joy of Reading Literature, 60).

In revisiting Leacock's work, we find not just humor but a keen social commentary on the human condition, bureaucracy, and the dynamics of financial institutions. Through his unique blend of wit and insight, Leacock continues to captivate readers, inviting them to reflect on the comedic aspects of everyday life.

The predicament in which the narrator finds himself underscores the necessity for a unique skill set to navigate bureaucratic processes smoothly. It mirrors the common experience of vulnerable individuals who often encounter uncooperative government officials unwilling to provide necessary assistance. The bureaucratic maze appears insurmountable, leaving individuals feeling trapped with no apparent solution. The writer adeptly depicts the narrator's pitiful plight during his visit to the bank, revealing the harsh reality of dealing with bureaucratic institutions.

The narrator's desire to open a bank account, fueled by a modest salary increase of fifty dollars, leads him to the conviction that meeting the bank manager is a prerequisite for such an endeavor. His inaugural visit to a bank becomes an embarrassingly awkward and degrading experience. Lacking knowledge of the proper account-opening procedures, he enters the bank premises with his meager fifty-six dollars, overwhelmed by confusion.

The narrator's behavior, thoughts, and speech evoke laughter from readers as he grapples with the indifferent attitude of the bank staff. The suffocating atmosphere exacerbates his discomfort, and the poor depositor contemplates a hasty escape. Summoning the courage to approach an accountant, whom he perceives as a fearsome figure, he amusingly labels him "a tall, cool devil." The repeated insistence on meeting the manager alone highlights the narrator's ignorance and fear, generating amusement at his predicament.

The tension mounts as the narrator, with an air of confidentiality and distrust, requests to meet the manager alone, a demand made twice for added emphasis. This creates a sense of misunderstanding and wonder, as readers chuckle at his sheer lack of awareness and trepidation. The narrator's anxiety prompts him to create confusion among the bank members and the manager.

Upon expressing his desire to meet the manager alone, the narrator unintentionally contributes to the misunderstanding. The manager, interpreting the request with some alarm, believes the narrator harbors a grave secret. The narrator's choice of words and demeanor leads

the manager to speculate that he might be a detective from Pinkerton's agency, tasked with sharing sensitive information. Clarifying his intent, the narrator dispels the misconception, asserting that he is not a detective but has arrived to open an account and entrust all his money to the bank.

This episode not only serves as a comedic interlude but also highlights the absurdity of bureaucratic interactions and the miscommunications that arise from societal norms and individual anxieties. The narrative, while inducing laughter, also invites readers to reflect on the broader implications of societal expectations and the often-perplexing nature of institutional encounters.

The manager, initially relieved to discover that the narrator is not a detective, swiftly misconstrues the situation once again, this time imagining the visitor to be a wealthy business magnate eager to make substantial investments in the bank. Upon realizing that the guest is neither a detective nor a prominent entrepreneur, the manager gracefully dismisses him, instructing the accountant to proceed with the necessary steps for opening an account. The ensuing conversation vividly illustrates the manager's ongoing misinterpretations based on the narrator's manner of speaking.

The manager, visibly relieved yet still maintaining a serious demeanor, now assumes that the narrator is the son of Baron Rothschild or a young Gould – a scion of significant wealth. He questions, "A large account, I suppose," expecting a substantial deposit. In response, the narrator humorously whispers, "Fairly large. I propose to deposit fifty-six dollars now and fifty dollars a month regularly."

In an unkindly loud tone, the manager rises and opens the door, summoning the accountant, Mr. Montgomery. In a tone that can be perceived as both humorous and critical, the manager declares, "Mr. Montgomery, this gentleman is opening an account; he will deposit fifty-six dollars. Good morning" (The Joy of Reading Literature, 62).

This interaction not only adds another layer of humor to the narrative but also underscores the manager's persistent misjudgments based on societal expectations and stereotypes. The humorous depiction of the narrator's modest deposit in contrast to the manager's assumptions about substantial wealth serves as a satirical commentary on the preconceived notions prevalent in financial institutions. Through this comedic exchange, Leacock deftly critiques the assumptions and class-based judgments that often characterize human interactions within bureaucratic settings.

Having handed over his fifty-six dollars to the clerk, the narrator, now officially an account holder, decides to withdraw six dollars. However, in the midst of haste and confusion, he inadvertently mentions the amount as fifty-six instead of six when asked about the mode of payment, humorously specifying "fifties and sixes." Leaving the bank with a mixture of relief and a firm resolution never to revisit, the narrator reflects on the nightmarish experience.

The comedic situation unfolds as the clerk, surprised by the large withdrawal, questions the narrator, "What! are you drawing it all out again?" It is at this moment that the narrator realizes the error in his cheque, having written fifty-six instead of six. The entire clerical staff pauses in their work to gaze at him, adding an element of absurdity to the scene.

Undeterred by his mistake and seemingly propelled by his

distress, the narrator boldly declares, "Yes, the whole thing." The clerk, astonished, inquires whether he intends to deposit any more money, to which the narrator resolutely responds, "Never" (The Joy of Reading Literature, 63).

This episode encapsulates the humor inherent in the narrator's misadventures at the bank, marked by a series of misunderstandings and blunders. Leacock skillfully employs the protagonist's recklessness and the resulting confusion to highlight the absurdity of bureaucratic interactions and the inherent pitfalls of financial dealings. The narrator's decision to withdraw his entire sum, combined with the clerk's astonishment, adds a touch of satire to the narrative, showcasing Leacock's ability to extract humor from the commonplace and the absurd.

At every juncture of the narrator's behavior, readers find themselves amused by his innocence and the comedic unfolding of events. The narrator, too naive to grasp the intricacies of a financial institution, becomes the subject of laughter as Stephen Leacock meticulously details the protagonist's experiences — from the salary raise to the interaction with the manager, his unfounded fears, the error in writing the cheque, and his firm decision never to set foot in the bank again.

Leacock employs a keen focus on the minute details of the narrator's ordeal to accentuate the challenges faced by those unfamiliar with banking transactions. The humor arises from the peculiar behavior of an ordinary individual who perceives the workings of the world as highly complex and formidable. This depiction offers a humorous commentary on the struggles of those who lack an understanding of financial processes.

The comedic effect is not solely derived from exaggerated situations but also from Leacock's adept use of concise dialogue snippets. The narrator's psychological unease is vividly portrayed through an economical presentation of details, allowing the scene to imply much more than it explicitly narrates.

As noted, "The humor of the piece is achieved not only by the exaggerated situation but also by a skillful use of short clips of dialogue. The narrator's psychological intimidation is clearly presented by an economy of detail in which the scene richly suggests more than it relates" (My Financial Career Summary, www.enotes.com). In this way, Leacock's storytelling prowess and humor emerge not just from overt exaggeration but from the nuanced interplay of dialogue and details, offering readers a delightful exploration of the protagonist's misadventures in the bewildering world of banking.

Leacock's compassion is evidently directed towards the victims of the inhumane behavior exhibited by indifferent authorities, a sentiment inherent in the role of a humorist. Drawing characters and situations from the reality of everyday life, Leacock's portrayal of the ordinary man, like the narrator's experience at the bank or any government office, resonates universally. This extends to highly educated individuals who grapple with the complexities of filling out intricate forms, highlighting the widespread challenges faced by people dealing with bureaucratic processes.

Despite years of development and progress, the plight of ordinary villagers persists, struggling to comprehend the functioning of the government machinery. They often become victims of red-tapism, encountering hostility and indifferent attitudes from bureaucrats. These villagers,

often unfamiliar with bureaucratic intricacies, navigate the system with awe, seeking assistance from officials as if approaching gods. The exploitative nature of fat-skinned bureaucrats preying on the gullibility of these villagers stems from sheer ignorance. The narrator's predicament, therefore, can be attributed to both his lack of awareness and the callous attitude of the bank officials.

As astutely observed, the failure of the man is not solely his responsibility; the bank personnel also play a role in his misfortune. The clerks in the bank, lacking enthusiasm and initiative, fail to provide proactive assistance. The accountant, displaying a lack of zeal and a cool demeanor, further contributes to the man's nervousness. Lastly, the impatience and abrupt manner of the bank manager, upon realizing the man is not wealthy, add to his embarrassment (Sakuranbo, www.studymode.com).

This analysis underscores Leacock's satire as a reflection of societal realities, shedding light on the systemic flaws and the often-exploitative dynamics between individuals and bureaucratic institutions. The humor and sympathy expressed in Leacock's work serve as a powerful commentary on the challenges faced by ordinary people in their interactions with authority figures and bureaucratic systems.

The narrator in this context emerges as a hapless individual, driven by a fearful state of mind, a lack of information, and misguided beliefs that ultimately lead him back to his original state of uncertainty. His futile visit to the bank, despite his attempt to glorify it as his "financial career," underscores the irony of his situation. The narrator's grandiose proclamation is sharply contrasted by the triviality of his deposit, a mere fifty-six dollars, which falls far short of shaping any substantial financial career.

Individuals who make lofty claims without the necessary qualifications become subjects of ridicule and mockery among the general populace. The narrative, encapsulated in "My Financial Career," revolves around a prototypical "little man" thrust into a daunting encounter with an intimidating institution as he endeavors to open a bank account. In characteristic fashion, Leacock employs sympathy to depict the honest and decent but powerless victim of an absurd and hostile world.

As aptly noted, "'My Financial Career' is about a prototypical 'little man' confronted by an intimidating institution as he opens a bank account. In the story, Leacock treats with characteristic sympathy the honest and decent but powerless victim of an absurd and hostile world" (Stephen Leacock Essay, www.enotes.com). Through the lens of satire, Leacock crafts a narrative that not only elicits laughter at the narrator's misadventures but also invites reflection on the broader themes of powerlessness, absurdity, and the often-hostile nature of the world.

5. Conclusion

In the exploration of Stephen Leacock's "My Financial Career" as a scathing satire on the absurdities of the financial world, this research article has delved into the multifaceted layers of humor, social critique, and the intricate relationship between literature and socio-economic realities. The article embarked on a journey through the comical misadventures of the protagonist, unraveling the satirical brilliance embedded in the narrative.

The title, "Laughing at Wealth: Unveiling the Absurdities of the Financial World in 'My Financial Career,'" "

encapsulates the essence of the research, positioning Leacock's work as a mirror reflecting perpetual absurdities within the financial landscape. The objectives of the research spanned the uncovering of satirical techniques, literary analysis, a multidisciplinary approach, socio-economic critique, relevance to contemporary issues, and contributing to satire scholarship.

The introduction set the stage by emphasizing the significance of satire as a tool for dissecting societal norms, paving the way for a detailed exploration of the humor, literature, and socio-economic critique inherent in "My Financial Career." The research then delved into an in-depth analysis of the title for article publication, providing a refined and captivating representation of the study.

The objectives were systematically addressed, revealing how Leacock's narrative extends beyond humor to serve as a powerful tool for social commentary. The exploration of satire within the narrative was not merely confined to the comedic surface but extended to draw connections between fictional absurdities and real-world financial complexities.

The research objectives were achieved by unraveling the nuances of Leacock's satire, integrating insights from economics, literature, and social criticism. The examination of the protagonist's encounters with the bank shed light on broader societal attitudes towards wealth and success, prompting readers to reflect on their own financial journeys.

The research article's significance was underscored through its contributions to satire scholarship, interdisciplinary understanding, and its potential as an educational tool. The detailed introduction invited readers to explore the intersections of humor, literature, and socio-economic critique, setting the tone for a comprehensive analysis.

Objectives were met by providing a nuanced examination of satirical techniques, literary elements, and socio-economic implications. The article positioned "My Financial Career" as more than a whimsical tale, emphasizing its timeless relevance and literary significance.

In conclusion, the research article not only dissected the humorous elements of "My Financial Career" but also unveiled the profound social critique embedded in Leacock's satire. Through a meticulous analysis of the protagonist's experiences, the article aimed to enrich the understanding of satire as a means of questioning societal norms and encouraging readers to laugh, ponder, and reassess their perspectives on wealth and success.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the successful completion of this research paper. Our heartfelt thanks go to the following individuals and institutions for their invaluable support:

1. Academic Advisors

We extend our deepest appreciation to our academic advisors, [Dr.R.Subramania Pillai, Professor and Head, Department of English, Noorul Islam Center for Higher Education, Kumaracoil, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu, India.] and [Dr.S.Soundiraraj, Professor, Department of English, Anna University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.], for their guidance, insightful feedback, and continuous encouragement throughout the research process. Their expertise has been instrumental in shaping the analytical

framework and refining our arguments.

2. Department of English, Anna University

We acknowledge the Department of English at Anna University, especially the faculty and staff, for providing an intellectually stimulating environment that fostered our research endeavors. The academic resources and infrastructure offered by the department greatly contributed to the quality of this work.

3. Colleagues and Peers

Our sincere thanks to our colleagues and peers who engaged in thoughtful discussions and provided valuable insights that enriched our understanding of the subject matter. The exchange of ideas and perspectives significantly influenced the depth and scope of our analysis.

4. Reviewers and Editors

We express our gratitude to the anonymous reviewers and the editorial team for their constructive feedback and suggestions. Their meticulous reviews enhanced the clarity and coherence of our arguments, contributing to the overall quality of the paper.

5. Family and Friends

Last but not least, we would like to thank our families and friends for their unwavering support and understanding during the demanding phases of research and writing. Their encouragement and patience were indispensable in navigating the challenges of academic pursuits.

This research paper benefited greatly from the collaborative efforts and support of these individuals and institutions. However, any errors or shortcomings remain our responsibility.

Data Availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Ethics Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval

This research did not require any ethical approval.

Informed consent

This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

References

1. "My Financial Career Summary (2020). ENotes.com." Enotes.com. Enotes.com, N.D. Web. 15 Oct.
2. "Stephen Leacock Essay - Critical Essays (2020). ENotes.com." Enotes.com. Enotes.com, N.D. Web. 20 Sep.
3. Board of Editors, Ed. The Joy of Reading Literature. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan Private Limited, 2019. Print.
4. Sakuranbo, (2014). 'My Financial Career' by Stephen Leacock." N.P., 11 Oct. 2007. Web. 20 Sept.