World Wide Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development (September- 2021)



WWJMRD 2021; 7(9): 1-4 www.wwjmrd.com International Journal Peer Reviewed Journal Refereed Journal Indexed Journal Impact Factor SJIF 2017: 5.182 2018: 5.51, (ISI) 2020-2021: 1.361 E-ISSN: 2454-6615 DOI: 10.17605/OSF.IO/PCY8H

Youngmi MOON

Associate professor, Department of Social Welfare, Baekseok Arts University, South Korea.

Correspondence: Youngmi MOON

Associate professor, Department of Social Welfare, Baekseok Arts University, South Korea.

Satisfaction with Blended Learning Classes in College Students majoring in Human Services

Youngmi MOON

Abstract

This study conducted very basic research, examining the overall satisfaction with blended classes and investigating the impacts of sociodemographic factors on satisfaction with blended classes. Therefore, this study is the primary research for developing a model of the most desirable blended learning class based on the competencies of junior college students and aims to provide the baseline data for future research. This study was conducted in a junior college located in Seoul with 76 college students majoring in human services. As for the content of the survey, frequency analysis and correlation analysis were conducted, and regression analysis was conducted to examine the impacts among the factors. As a result of the study, no statistically significant results were obtained. Thus, subsequent studies should design a blended class model appropriate for the eye level of junior college students.

Keywords: blended learning, class satisfaction

Introduction

1. Problem Posing

With the development of information and communication technology, e-learning is actively utilized in college lectures to meet the demands of college students who want changes and the autonomy of time and space utilization.

At colleges, e-learning is teaching/learning activities in which everyone can be provided with education information services anywhere and at any time, utilizing the Internet and advanced electronic media (Korea Education and Research Information Service, 2004). However, in contrast, they point out several disadvantages of e-learning. In other words, educational institutions should have expensive devices and employ additional staff members who can deal with them. Also, the instructor should have considerable skills for content development, which requires a lot of time and effort. In addition, there is a limitation that students cannot be provided with the personal aspects of the instructor they can feel in face-to-face classes or the implicit knowledge that can be delivered by writing or speaking. Nevertheless, as the cyber areas expand in communities, and the COVID-19 situation takes place, it is judged that colleges' e-learning would be a must, not a choice.

Therefore, the world should prepare for a future with COVID-19, and as part of the preparation, blended learning is introduced, which combines the advantages of face-to-face class and e-learning. Interest in it is very high.

However, there are still few studies of satisfaction with blended learning classes, and there are various results of the studies. Thus, it is necessary to conduct more multifaceted research. Especially, since there are almost no studies of teaching methods considering junior colleges' educational goals and educational environments and taking into account the differences among the students, this study conducted very basic research, examining the overall satisfaction with blended classes and investigating the impacts of sociodemographic factors on satisfaction with blended classes. Therefore, this study is the primary research for developing a model of the most desirable blended learning class based on the competencies of junior college students and aims to provide the baseline data for future research.

2. Literature Study

1) Concept of blended learning

Early blended learning combined e-learning activities along with traditional classroom instruction (Singh, 2003; Ward & LaBrauche, 2003). However, recently, it has been interpreted as something with more diverse and broader meanings.

For example, Smith (2001) defines it as a "method of distance education that uses the technology combined with traditional education (technology). However, Fox (2002) defines it as "the ability to combine the elements of classroom education, real-time and self-initiated e-learning, and advanced supportive learning services as a method for providing the best learning solutions to identified management problems." Meanwhile, Driscoll (2002) explained that users use the meanings of blended learning in various dimensions, though using the same concept of blended learning.

To sum up these definitions, blended learning can be defined as a teaching-learning method that utilizes various teaching strategies, combining on-line and off-line learning (classroom instruction) to maximize the learning effect.

2 Satisfaction with blended learning classes

Since the history of the introduction of blended learning is not long, domestic and overseas preceding studies have not been conducted actively yet. Even some studies that have been conducted too are those conducted for corporate job training or at special graduate schools, so there is a limitation in applying classes in the regular curricula of general universities. The preceding studies of blended learning conducted until now usually show positive reactions in satisfaction with classes; however, since the result is not consistent, e.g. There is no statistically significant result, it is difficult to generalize this yet.

In a lot of the preceding studies, satisfaction was higher

with blended classes than with fully on-line classes or faceto-face classes (Baker, 2004; DeLacey & Leonard, 2002; Inkyung Oh, 2004). However, in a domestic study conducted with educational psychology students at S. Women's University (Bona Kim, 2006), satisfaction with face-to-face classes was high. The place, a college as expected by students, is a place of higher education, but it is also a place where they share the romance of youth and pursue free relationships as grownups. Thus, it is judged that the result was different from the purpose of learning in general companies.

A lot of research has been done on the variables that affect academic achievement. However, class satisfaction. There are few studies on the influencing variables. In addition, research on variables affecting academic achievement. Most of the composition is done in traditional face-to-face classes, and is performed in blended learning or e-learning. Since only a few studies have been conducted, it is difficult to generalize the results. (Bandura, A., 1997: McGrew, 1997:-Hyung Lee, 2003). In the future, research on blended classes will be a very important academic field, so it is judged that basic research data should be accumulated. Therefore, in this study, the study was conducted focusing on the socio-demographic variables that affect the blended class satisfaction.

3. Results and implications of the study

This study was conducted in a junior college located in Seoul with 76 college students majoring in human services. For the final analysis, 70 copies of the questionnaire were used, excluding 26 copies of the questionnaire with unreliable responses. As for the content of the survey, frequency analysis and correlation analysis were conducted, and regression analysis was conducted to examine the impacts among the factors. Specific results are as follows:

division		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gandar	Male	30	42.9
Gender	Female	40	57.1
arrada	1 grade	30	42.9
grade	2grade	40	57.1
	Under 29	64	91.4
4 22	30-49	0	0
Age	40-69	6	8.6
	Over 70	0	0
computer level	upper	2	2.9
	middle	39	55.7
	lower	29	41.4
	Very much	11	15.7
	Mostly	25	35.7
Contribution of blended learning	Moderately	26	37.1
	Mostly not	6	8.6
	Not at all	2	2.9
	lecture	45	64.3
	task	9	12.8
	debate	3	4.3
Oserui class types	Q&A	3	4.3
	related video	9	12.8
	etc	1	1.5
	3time online	12	17.1
Appropriate class rate	1time face-to-face +2time online	17	24.3
	2face-to-face time +1time online	27	38.5

Table 1: frequency analysis.

World Wide Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development

	3time face-to-face	12	17.1
class satisfaction	Very much	29	41.4
	Mostly	18	25.7
	Moderately	19	27.1
	Mostly not	1	1.5
	Not at all	3	4.3
	Very much	16	22.9
	Mostly	27	38.5
class satisfaction of blended learning	Moderately	21	30
	Mostly not	3	4.3
	Not at all	3	4.3
The most helpful class	blended(face-to-face+pre-recording)	19	27.1
	blended(face-to-face+Real-time)	5	7.2
	face-to-face	32	45.7
	Similar	14	20
desirable class method	blended(face-to-face+pre-recording)	15	21.4
	blended(face-to-face+Real-time)	12	17.1
	online(pre-recording)	20	28.6
	online(Real-time)	3	4.3
	face-to-face	20	28.6
to	70	100	

A total of 70 students participated in the survey, and there were more female students and more sophomores. As for a peculiar result, the most helpful teaching method was face-to-face class; however, as for the teaching method they wanted for the future, face-to-face class and pre-recorded

class appeared to be in the same ratio. It is explained that overall, the students were satisfied with the blended; however, they wanted the class to progress in a simple class style, either on-line class or face-to-face class.

Table 2: Correlation analysis

	Gender	Age	grade	computer level
Gender	1			
Age	0.162045	1		
grade	0.125	-0.14731	1	
computer level	0.083651	0.064527	-0.02281	1

There was no correlation between each factor. The results are contradictory to the results that showed a high correlation between grade level and age in previous studies. This is considered to be due to the characteristics of the study target school and major. There are older students in the Human Services major, which I think is a special part different from other majors

Table 3: Factors Affecting Satisfaction with Blended Classes

Regression analysis statistics					
Multiple correlation coefficient	0.670434				
Coefficient of determination	0.449482				
Adjusted coefficient of determination	0.415604				
Standard error	1.065323				
The number of observations	70				
Analysis of variance					

Analysis of variance						
	Degree of freedom	Sum of squares	Root mean square	F ratio	Significant F	
Regression	4	60.23062	15.05765	13.26767	5.87E-08	
Residual	65	73.76938	1.134914			
Total	69	134				

Coefficient	Standard error	t statistics	P-value	Bottom 95%	Тор 95%	Bottom 95.0%	Тор 95.0%
0.02874	0.264	0.108865	0.913645	-0.4985	0.555985	-0.4985	0.555985
0.715876	0.473107	1.513135	0.135093	-0.22899	1.660737	-0.22899	1.660737
0.178435	0.264262	0.67522	0.501931	-0.34933	0.706202	-0.34933	0.706202
1.902212	0.281553	6.756137	4.67E-09	1.339911	2.464512	1.339911	2.464512
	Coefficient 0.02874 0.715876 0.178435 1.902212	CoefficientStandard error0.028740.2640.7158760.4731070.1784350.2642621.9022120.281553	CoefficientStandard errort statistics0.028740.2640.1088650.7158760.4731071.5131350.1784350.2642620.675221.9022120.2815536.756137	CoefficientStandard errort statisticsP-value0.028740.2640.1088650.9136450.7158760.4731071.5131350.1350930.1784350.2642620.675220.5019311.9022120.2815536.7561374.67E-09	Coefficient Standard error t statistics P-value Bottom 95% 0.02874 0.264 0.108865 0.913645 -0.4985 0.715876 0.473107 1.513135 0.135093 -0.22899 0.178435 0.264262 0.67522 0.501931 -0.34933 1.902212 0.281553 6.756137 4.67E-09 1.339911	Coefficient Standard error t statistics P-value Bottom 95% Top 95% 0.02874 0.264 0.108865 0.913645 -0.4985 0.55985 0.715876 0.473107 1.513135 0.135093 -0.22899 1.660737 0.178435 0.264262 0.67522 0.501931 -0.34933 0.706202 1.902212 0.281553 6.756137 4.67E-09 1.339911 2.464512	Coefficient Standard error t statistics P-value Bottom 95% Top 95% Bottom 95.0% 0.02874 0.264 0.108865 0.913645 -0.4985 0.555985 -0.4985 0.715876 0.473107 1.513135 0.135093 -0.22899 1.660737 -0.22899 0.178435 0.264262 0.67522 0.501931 -0.34933 0.706202 -0.34933 1.902212 0.281553 6.756137 4.67E-09 1.339911 2.464512 1.339911

*: p<0.05

Factors affecting the satisfaction of the respondents with the class did not show significant results at a significance level of 0.05. Thus, in this study, not all hypotheses were statistically adopted. This is very opposite to the results of the existing study, and it is judged that self-evaluation of computer skills is very subjective and that they are human services majors that include people studying late, who are not familiar with computers, unlike other majors. However, judging from the differences by year, it is noted that there is a difference in the classification of classes between students who have blended class experience and those who experience that for the first time. Thus, subsequent studies should design a blended class model appropriate for the eye level of junior college students. To do so, first, it would be necessary to understand students' computer skills and utilize a manual or tutoring that can support the entry into the class. Recently, because of the shortage of personnel in colleges, as the opportunity of admission has been opened to students with low learning ability as well, some students cannot even enter the on-line classroom environment. Since it is judged that this problem would become severer, it would be necessary to establish a support system.

Second, as shown in the result of the study, since students feel burdened with complicated teaching methods, it is necessary to compose the teaching method more simply. Especially, students are confused since each instructor uses a different on-line platform, and face-to-face classes and on-line classes are mixed by week.

References

- 1. Bona Kim (2006). "A Comparison Study on the Instruction Effects of Blended Learning and Traditional Face-to-face Learning in University." Thesis for an MA Degree, Graduate School of Sungshin Women's University.
- 2. Inkyung Oh (2004). "Blended Learning Trends Analysis: Korean Trends & Comparative Study with Foreign Data" Journal of Corporate Education and Talent Research, 6 (1), 41-62
- 3. Ok-Hyung Lee (2003). A Study on the Fluid Intelligence of Korean Students-Focused on the Validation of the Critical Intelligence Test. Seongshin Papers 38, 139-182
- 4. Korea Education and Research Information Service (2004). "Status of e-Learning in Higher Education and Plan for Revitalization." Research Report KR2004-25
- 5. Baker, J. H. (2004). Spreadsheet Applications: Prototyping an Innovative Blended Course. Turikish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE, 5(1)
- 6. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: Toward a unify theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84, 191-215.
- DeLacy, B, J., & Leonard, D.A. (2002). Case study on technology and distance in education at Havard Business School. Education Technology and Society, 5(2)
- 8. Driscoll, M. (2002). Blended learning: Let's get beyond the hype. Learning & Training Innovations Magazine, March.
- 9. Fox, M. (2002). Keeping the blended promise: What does it take to make e-learning really pay off? Learning & Training Innovations Magazine, March.
- 10. McGrew, K, S., Keith, T. Z., Flanagan, D. P. & Vanderwood, M. (1997).
- 11. Singh, H. (2003). Building effective blended learning programs. Educational Technology, 43(6), 51-54
- 12. Smith, J.M. (2001). Blended learning: An old friend gets a new game.
- Ward, J. & LaBranche, G.A. (2003). Blended learning: The convergence of e-learning and meetings. Franchising World, 35(4), 2