



WWJMRD 2023; 9(12): 71-78

www.wwjmr.com

International Journal

Peer Reviewed Journal

Refereed Journal

Indexed Journal

Impact Factor SJIF 2017:

5.182 2018: 5.51, (ISI) 2020-

2021: 1.361

E-ISSN: 2454-6615

**Akhilesh Chandra Shukla**

Research Scholar, Department  
of Defence and Strategic  
Studies University of  
Allahabad, India.

**Bharti Das**

Professor, Department of  
Defence and Strategic Studies  
University of Allahabad, India.

**Correspondence:**

**Akhilesh Chandra Shukla**

Research Scholar, Department  
of Defence and Strategic  
Studies University of  
Allahabad, India.

## The Influence of Internal and External Aspects on Indo-US Relations

**Akhilesh Chandra Shukla, Bharti Das**

### Abstract

In spite of the fact that relations between India and the United States have, historically speaking, been famously problematic, there has been a perceptible rise in the level of activity in their growth in recent years. This may be seen as a positive development. It is made possible by shared interests and is founded not only "on bilateral variables (trade, energy, and military-technical cooperation), but also on circumstances that are dictated by the current state of affairs on a global and regional scale. Examples of such components include collaboration in the fields of energy and defense technology, as well as commercial and international trade. On the other hand, the debate that took place between India and the United States is relevant outside the constraints of the framework" that is reserved for bilateral interactions. It has an effect, in particular, on the ties that are present inside the virtual triangle that includes the United States, India, and China, as well as within the dialogue format that includes Russia, India, and China. In view of the volatility of the global situation, which has become much worse in 2022, it is vital to carry out an examination of all of these challenges considered together. This is because the situation has grown significantly worse in 2022. This article presents an overview of the complex links that unite both countries, from times of estrangement from the cold war to the current age of strategic alliance.

**Keywords:** United States, India, Relations, Interests, Influence, Internal, External, Aspects.

### Introduction

Over the past several decades, there has been a significant shift in the nature of the relationship between India and the United States of America, which are the two greatest democracies in the world. On analyzing almost 75 years of history together, the Republic of India and the United States" of America have had ties that can be characterized as best by a line that has seen substantial adjustments. This is especially true when we look at how the two countries have interacted with one another. It moved slowly for the most part, particularly over the length of the previous century, and according to the definition offered by the experts at the US Council on Foreign Relations, it resembled "estrangement" [1]. This was notably true during the course of the last century. There were a few explosions, each of which appeared to be aimed in a number of different directions at the same moment. For instance, the United States backed "nonaligned" India during the border battle with China in 1962, but they did not help India during the Third Indo-Pakistani conflict in 1971. Both of these conflicts took place in South Asia. The United States of America took a position of support for "nonaligned" India in the year 1962. New tensions have arisen between India and the United States as a direct result of the nuclear tests that India conducted in 1998 and the sanctions that the United States imposed as a direct result of these tests.

### Historical Context

- **Early Phases (1947-1990):** Both the United States and India respected democratic norms equally before to India's independence in 1947, although they frequently pursued geopolitical agendas that were incompatible with one another. The Indian government's strategy of non-alignment during the Cold War resulted in varied degrees of collaboration with both of the world's superpowers (Mohan, 2019).

- **Post-Cold War Reconciliation (1991-2000):** The dissolution of the Soviet Union and the opening up of the Indian economy in the early 1990s provided the impetus for a reevaluation of the bilateral relationship between the two countries. The United States of America expressed their satisfaction with India's economic reforms and started to get more involved in the country's affairs (Mohan, 2019).

#### Strategic Convergence

- **Defense and Security Cooperation:** Over the course of the past several years, the Indo-US relationship has placed an increased emphasis on defense and security cooperation. A commitment to improving interoperability and joint military capabilities may be shown in the signature of foundational agreements like the Logistics Exchange Memorandum of Agreement (LEMOA) (Menon & Saran, 2018).
- **Economic Integration:** The two countries have seen tremendous growth in their commercial and economic connections in recent years. India is now one of the United States' greatest commercial partners, and both nations have tried to improve their economic cooperation in sectors such as technology, energy, and healthcare. India is now one of the United States' largest trading partners (Menon & Saran, 2018).
- **Geopolitical Alignment:** Both India and the United States have the goal of seeing the Indo-Pacific area become more open and freer. The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad), which also includes Japan and Australia, is a forum that aims to create regional peace and economic success. Both of these countries are members of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) (Menon & Saran, 2018).

#### Common Challenges and Interests

- **Counterterrorism:** Terrorism remains an ever-present danger for both India and the United States. One of the most important aspects of their alliance has been their collaboration in counterterrorism operations, the exchange of intelligence, and the creation of capability (Paul, 2016).
- **Climate Change and Sustainable Development:** The United States and India have collaborated on efforts to solve global concerns such as the effects of climate change. Their dedication to sustainable development is demonstrated through collaborative endeavors such as the United States–India Climate and Clean Energy Agenda 2030 Partnership (Paul, 2016).

#### Potential Areas of Concern

- **Trade and Market Access:** There are still areas of disagreement between India and the United States on market access, intellectual property rights, and trade imbalances despite the fact that commerce between the two countries has increased.
- **Regional Dynamics:** The strategic interests of India in its near region, particularly in respect to China and Pakistan, might at times make it difficult to align India's goals with those of the United States.
- **Future Trajectory:** The trend of ties between India and the United States looks to be positive. As both countries attempt to negotiate the complex global problems that they face, particularly the emergence of

China, it is probable that they will strive to strengthen their partnership in areas like as cybersecurity, space exploration, and advanced technology.

#### Ascending Dynamics

Despite this, throughout the course of time, this particular facet of their relationship improved in a manner that was both consistent and steady throughout the process. In point of fact, there have been five summits between "the Prime Minister of India and the President of the United States" in the first decade of this century. These summits have taken place between India and the United States. However, there had only been three of these encounters in the previous fifty years prior to the turn of the century. In the 2010s, high-level interactions had already established a distinct pattern of regularity: from 2014 to 2020, Narendra Modi made a total of six official journeys to the United States, while during the same time period, the President of the United States paid two trips to India on official business. During this same time period, Narendra Modi made a total of six official travels to the United States. During this same time period, the Prime Minister of India traveled to the United Kingdom a total of six times on official business. Throughout the years 2020 and "2021, Modi and President J. Biden engaged in at least three separate telephone talks as well as a range of internet activities together. One of these gatherings was a digital version of the Quad summit, which took place in March of 2021. Six months later, in Washington, on the margins of the first in-person meeting of the top leaders of the nations in this group, the present presidents of the United States and India had their first personal meetings with one another. These conversations took place at the first in-person meeting of the top leaders of the nations in this group. Another Joint Statement [2] was signed between the two nations as a result of the negotiations that took place. In addition to that, the heads of state or government of the countries that make up this organization finally met one another in person for the very first time at this event.

When formal moments develop in a system, this is another indication that the dynamics of the system are rising. Since President Donald Trump paid a visit to the nation's capital in February of 2020, the relations between the two nations have been referred to as a "comprehensive global strategic partnership" [3]. The official status of their relationship, which was once known as a "partnership for prosperity," has been increased as a direct consequence of this. The phrase "partnership for prosperity" no longer accurately describes their connection. D. Trump, who held the position of vice president before Joe Biden, visited the location. It is not a coincidence that following this visit, leading professionals writing for the journal *Foreign Affairs* noted that Trump and Modi had succeeded in effectively rebuilding serious bilateral ties, which have continued to develop [Shivshankar Menon, 2020, page 132]. In the most recent iteration of the United States' Indo-Pacific Strategy, which was authorized on February 11, 2022 [4,] Washington refers to New Delhi as "a steadfast regional ally." On February 11, 2022, this method was given the go light.

"The value of the commodities that were transferred between the two countries has climbed by a factor of ten since the year 2000, hitting a record-breaking high of 146 billion dollars in 2019. The United States is India primary

export market, the country second largest source of direct investment (with over \$13 billion expected to be invested in India during the fiscal year 2020–2021), and one of the primary beneficiaries of direct investments made by India itself (with an accumulated volume of \$22 billion) [5]. In addition, the United States is one of the primary beneficiaries of direct investments made by India itself (with an accumulated volume of \$22 billion). In addition, the United States is one of the principal recipients of direct investments made by India itself, totaling \$22 billion, making the United States one of the most important countries to receive such investments".

According to the findings of the study that was carried out by Kuzyk and Shaumyan (2009), the strengthening of these relationships is able to be ascribed to "a number of variables, of both a bilateral and an external character, and at the same time is reliant on the parties' shared interests in the matter".

### **Mutual Interests: Internal and External Aspects**

Examples of bilateral concerns include monetary transactions like trade and investment, as well as the prospect of working together on projects connected to the energy sector, which may include nuclear power. Another example of a bilateral concern is the possibility of collaborating on projects linked to the transportation sector, which may include air travel. Interaction with the United States paves the way for India, which only has an unofficial nuclear status, to gain easier access to the markets of nuclear raw materials and technologies, makes it possible to mitigate restrictions imposed by the "Nuclear Suppliers Group, and generally strengthens India's position as a nuclear state. India nuclear status is only unofficially recognized by the international community. The international world only recognizes India nuclear status in an unofficial capacity at this time. In return, the United States thinks that it will be easier for it to enter the market for nuclear power plants in India, which is expected to have a capacity of one hundred billion dollars. In addition, the United States anticipates that it will receive more favorable treatment from the Indian government. Oil (10.7 million tons in 2020; from the Russian Federation, 2.6 million) and LNG (3.3 billion cubic meters in 2020; from the Russian Federation, 0.7 billion) are two examples of the types of commodities that India purchases in substantial quantities from the United States [6]".

The expanding military-technical connections between the two countries have also taken on a reciprocal nature, as proven by the fact that the value of contracts for the sale of weapons from the United States will reach \$20 billion by the year 2021. This is evidenced by the fact that the development of these linkages has occurred simultaneously in both countries. This may be demonstrated by the fact that the number of contracts for the sale of weapons originating from the United States will come close to reaching that figure. The United States is able to accrue enormous financial benefits as a consequence of the diversity of the Indians' sources of weaponry import, which enables them to negotiate with a variety of suppliers. In the meantime, the Indians are successful in negotiating more favorable conditions for their purchases. It is hard to downplay the significance of the influence that external circumstances that are beyond the control of the two countries have had on the continuous process of the two

countries moving closer together. The trepidation that many nations throughout the world feel toward China stems from a variety of factors, each of which is as unique as the nations that harbor it. To preserve its status as the preeminent military power in the world, the United States, for instance, has made public statements expressing a desire to enlist India as a partner in the conflict with China. The desire to maintain its status as the preeminent force in the globe is the primary impetus behind this aspiration. This includes include India in the United States' very own strategy for the Indo–Pacific region, which was published in 2017 and lays a predominant focus on the military components of its overall structure. This plan was unveiled in 2017 and lays a predominant focus on the military components of its overall structure. The actions that India does in this region are directed by a more complicated set of considerations as a result of an ongoing territorial dispute that exists between Delhi and Beijing. The argument has been going on for a considerable amount of time. This struggle for regional dominance has been going on between these two governments for a significant length of time, with the basin of the Indian Ocean serving as the major focus of attention in this conflict.

When it comes to official meetings, both Washington and New Delhi try to avoid directly mentioning the "Chinese threat." This is the case even if both countries are concerned about it. This is the case regardless of whether or not both nations are worried about it. The bulk of the time, in joint political declarations between India and the United States, the attitude towards the "Chinese factor" is stated as the purpose to promote common interests in the Indo–Pacific area and guarantee regional stability and freedom of navigation. This is the case even if the "Chinese factor" is rarely mentioned by name in these statements. This is the case because both India and the United States consider the "Chinese factor" as the most significant danger to the stability of the area and the freedom to navigate its waters. The overwhelming majority of circumstances may be placed into this group. It occurs rather frequently, and most notably in the context of the situation "in the South China Sea, when the parties voice universal demands to defend "the legitimate rights and interests of all nations according to international law [7], which is regarded as a pretty evident signal to China. This is considered as an indication that the world community is concerned about China's actions in the South China Sea".

In addition, India holds the position that the United States has taken in favor of India's full participation in the United Nations Security Council in the greatest respect". This is because India views the United States as a reliable ally in this endeavor. This viewpoint has been repeated several times, including in documents that have been developed collectively over the course of the last few years.

There is no way around the fact that the involvement of Russian representatives in the conversations that are taking place between the United States and India in New Delhi will not have some kind of impact on the topics that are being discussed. It is not a coincidence that the United States has lately applied a significant amount of pressure on India in relation to the procurement of the Russian S-400 air defense system. This pressure has been placed by the United States in recent weeks. In April 2022, against the backdrop of the events that were transpiring in Ukraine, Washington issued a warning to India "of serious risks"

related with an increase in the amount of oil that it purchased from Russia [8]. This warning came in light of the fact that India had just increased the amount of oil that it purchased from Russia. This warning was issued as a direct reaction to the fact that India had increased the quantity of oil that it purchased from Russia. In addition, according to the Director of the National Economic Council B. Deese, Washington is reported to have conveyed to the leadership of India that the implications of strengthening strategic collaboration with Moscow would be severe and long-lasting.

It should not come as a surprise to anyone, in view of everything that has been described above, that the relations between the United States and India are having a detrimental impact on New Delhi's relations with both Beijing and Moscow. The United States of America is very worried about the emergence of these alliances, particularly in light of the fact that Washington has increased the amount of pressure that it is applying on China and Russia in recent years. In this context, "increased" refers to the fact that Washington has raised the amount of pressure that it is placing on China and Russia. In this regard, the conversation that takes place between the two capitals of the United States—"Washington and New Delhi"—has been seen by a considerable number of specialists for a considerable amount of time as being a component of a much more complex quadrangular structure—the United States, India, China, and Russia. This view has been held by a large number of experts for a considerable amount of time. This point of view has been held for a substantial length of time by a huge number of seasoned professionals".

### **Prospects: The Chinese and Russian Factors**

In light of this, what do you see as the future of ties between the United States and India, not just from the perspective of the agendas for the two nations but, more importantly, from the perspective of the agendas for the world?

Because they have been such a constant part of the bilateral debate up until this point, it is reasonable to anticipate that the broad tendencies that have emerged over the course of the last several years will continue into the foreseeable future. The parties have come to an understanding that will allow them to collaborate on projects in those fields where their interests, as outlined above, including the "Chinese factor," are comparable to one another. The agreement will take effect immediately. However, there will also continue to be restrictions and regions of divergence, both of which are notably reflective of the policies adopted by New Delhi. Both of these things will continue to be the case. It is quite evident that India desires to maintain what is referred to as its "strategic autonomy," "which it strengthens by diversifying its global and regional policies to the greatest degree that it is practically able to do so. It is abundantly evident that India is attempting to have a strategic discourse with the United States, which is fascinating taking into consideration that India is interested in developing a strategic conversation with the United States. It is essential to take note of the fact that, in recent years, New Delhi has been seen to be striving progressively to transfer such autonomy to the economic sector, with a concentrate on the city's specific characteristics [Subramanian and Felman, 2022, pages 144, 145]. It is essential to take note of this

fact because it is vital to note that New Delhi has been believed to be aiming to transfer such autonomy gradually". Let us not forget the frictions directly in the bilateral agenda, which, for example in business, may occasionally rise into open squabbles. Let us not forget the frictions directly in the bilateral agenda. Also, let us not overlook the tensions that are directly a part of the bilateral agenda. Let us not overlook these potential rubbing spots either. Observers of Foreign Affairs have lately been reminded that this action was taken as a result of the fact that India had not provided the United States equal access to its market [9]. This fact has been brought to the attention of those observing Foreign Affairs. The United States did not grant India the privilege of having its goods enter the American market duty-free in 2019, despite the fact that this is often a privilege granted to less developed nations. [9] The fact that India did not grant the United States the same access to its market as it granted other countries served as the push for adopting this strategy. Since then, there have been other discussions on the topic, but none of them have yielded any constructive outcomes up to this day. In addition to this, the United States includes India on its list because it considers India to be one of the nations in which abuses of human rights are widespread and because India is included on its list. In other words, the United States believes that India falls into both of these categories. Secretary of State A. Blinken offered his opinion on this topic during the press conference that took place on April 11, 2022. The press conference was scheduled to discuss this topic particularly. The statement that was provided by "the head of Indian diplomacy, who indicated that India has its own notion of human rights [10]", was offered as a swift answer to him as a method of replying to his inquiry. This was done in order to show that the Indian government takes human rights seriously.

There is no question that the current state of ties between China and India will have a major impact on the amount of progress accomplished toward reconciliation between India and the United States, particularly in regard to New Delhi's stance on the issue. This is one of the many reasons why there is little doubt that this will be the case.

After the "resolution of the acute phase of the border conflict in the spring and summer of 2020, on the one hand, tensions between Beijing and New Delhi continue to exist, while on the other hand, negotiations continue on a further settlement (the 14th and 15th rounds of meetings were held in January–March at the level of commanders of the border corps of the two countries), and there are fairly stable mutual signals that the parties have not excluded the possibility of a settlement. After the resolution of the acute phase of the border conflict in the spring After the most urgent stage of the border dispute was dealt with successfully in the" spring, At a news conference that took place after the National People's Congress (NPC) session that took place in March recently, Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi made the following statements: "... sustained growth, peace, and harmony between the two nations would serve as a guarantee of peace and prosperity on the world.... I pray that we, rather than engaging in rivalry with one another, will always be able to collaborate for the benefit of both of us" [11].

It would appear that this was met with a reception in India that was not very enthusiastic but was nevertheless good. After a fortnight had passed, Wang Yi embarked on a trip

to New Delhi in order to carry on a dialogue with his Indian colleague. Following the conclusion of the meeting, the Indian official issued a statement in which he discussed the enormous significance of the bilateral connections as well as India's intention to maintain predictable and stable relations with China [12]. After the conclusion of the meeting, he made this statement. With a grand total of \$125.5 billion, the amount of commerce that was transacted between the two countries in 2021 achieved a new all-time high in the history of their partnership. When compared to the previous year, this represented a rise of 43 percent [13]. During this specific time period, the amount of commercial activity that took place between the two nations reached a level that was previously unrivaled.

It is highly unlikely that India will demonstrate a greater propensity than it did in the past to violate anti-Chinese "red lines" in communication with Washington—both in bilateral interaction with the United States and in the Quad, where, according to official New Delhi, India is putting an emphasis on the economic agenda [14]. This is because of the fact that it is highly unlikely that India will exhibit a greater propensity than it did in the past to violate anti-Chinese "red lines." To put it another way, it is quite unlikely that India will cross any "red lines" about China in its dialogue with the United States.

It is realistic to predict that there will be some kind of balance between the two in terms of the influence that the negotiations between India and the United States and the connections between Russia and India will have on one another. This can be said in reference to the fact that one will have an effect on the other. In spite of this, it is abundantly evident that the events that transpired in Ukraine during the spring of 2022 brought fresh problems to the scenario that already existed between the United States of America, Russia, and India. It is essential to bear in mind that India has always adopted a position of unequivocal neutrality on the situation in Ukraine ever since it took prompt action in 2014 to remove it from anti-Russian sanctions [15]. This is something that needs to be kept in mind when conducting an analysis of the numerous consequences that could be the result of this scenario. When examining the many other possible outcomes that may take place, it is imperative that this particular aspect be kept in mind at all times.

"Indicatively, along with the diplomatic formulations of official New Delhi about its interest in relations with all countries, about the task of achieving peace as soon as possible, many in Indian media, including the blogosphere, clearly spoke for Russia as a proven, long-term, and reliable friend of India, which had supported it more than once [16]. This is consistent with the diplomatic formulations of official New Delhi about its interest in relations with all countries. This is in line with the diplomatic formulations that official New Delhi has put up on its desire in ties with every nation. This conforms to the diplomatic formulations that the official government of New Delhi has put up regarding the nature of the relationships it seeks to have with each nation". On the other side, there was skepticism over the capabilities of the United States to successfully lead its allies. This is because, to use Hussein as an example, the United States has a long history of betraying those it considers to be its allies. In this case, Hussein was one of those people. People were also made aware of the mercenary nature of the United States'

posture against India thanks to the individuals who brought it to people's attention. In contrast to Russia, the United States is shifting its focus away from the transfer of military technology and instead is working toward the objective of making India dependent on the United States. One example of this shift is the move away from the transmission of drone technology. The exchange of technology used in warfare is one illustration of this principle in action.

Important issues relating to the bilateral ties that exist between the two countries were discussed during the meetings that took place in the nation's capital during the end of March or the beginning of April 2022. These conversations took place in the context of the new realities that were brought about as a result of the events that took place in Ukraine and the sanctions strategy that the United States implemented. The centerpiece of the trip was Russian President Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin's successful visit to India in December 2021, which featured the first 2+2 Dialogue between the Foreign and Defense Ministers of India and Russia. This dialogue took place in New Delhi on December 6, 2021. They spoke about methods to convert to the "ruble-rupee" scheme in bilateral trade operations, including settlements for India's greatly increased imports of Russian oil in 2022 and the already realized shipments of a number of Indian commodities to the Russian Federation. They also talked about ways to convert to the "ruble-rupee" scheme in international financial transactions. They also examined techniques for converting foreign financial transactions to the "ruble-rupee" arrangement. During their participation in global trade operations, they also considered the several ways in which they may eventually switch to utilizing the "ruble-rupee" system. "At this conversation, other themes, such as the prospect of relieving traditionally strong bilateral ties from the burden of western sanctions, were also discussed. Specifically, this topic was brought up in the context of the debate. Shortly after the beginning of the Ukrainian crisis, India established a government interdepartmental group in order to study the impact of anti-Russian sanctions on the Indian economy and find solutions, including through mutual settlements in national currencies, with orientation to the Chinese yuan as the base exchange rate equivalent [18]. This group's mission is to study the impact of anti-Russian sanctions on the Indian economy and find solutions. This was done with the intention of achieving the same aims that were discussed in the introduction. This group was established with the goal of doing research on the impact that anti-Russian sanctions have had on the Indian economy and coming up with viable solutions to the problems that have been uncovered".

Be aware, however, that the British Foreign Minister L. Truss was met by the Indian Prime Minister almost concurrently with the Russian Foreign Minister, and that three weeks before to that, the Indian Foreign Minister S. Jaishankar had a bilateral meeting in Melbourne with Blinken. These two occurrences took happened simultaneously on the same day. "These two get-togethers were held extremely close to one another in close proximity to one another. During this period, the Deputy Secretary of State, V. Nuland, traveled to the nation's capital city to meet with the Indian government. During her time there, she stressed the growing importance for collaboration between the two main democracies as well as India's

reluctance to acquire weapons from Russia as part of the next round of regular US–Indian discussions at the level of deputy foreign ministers [19]. This will take place as part of the next round of regular consultations between the US and India at the level of deputy foreign ministers. It is important to keep in mind that since the beginning of this year, the Prime Minister of India has participated in telephone or online negotiations with almost all of the leaders of the leading Western countries (Germany, Great Britain, Japan, Australia, Canada, and France), in addition to Poland and Ukraine, and has also attended an online Quad summit on March 3, 2022 [20]. It is also important to keep in mind that the online Quad summit took place on March 3, 2022. It is also essential to recall that the virtual Quad summit was held on March 3, 2022. This date should be kept in mind. Harsh Shringla, Deputy Foreign Minister of India, and Daleep Singh, Deputy National Security Adviser of the United States of America, met up on March 31 [21] to discuss a variety of topics. The two men talked on a variety of issues, including the present situation in Ukraine as well as recent events that took place in the Indo–Pacific region".

During this period, leading Indian specialists have issued a warning that there has been an increase in the amount of tension that exists in the relations between the United States and India. This is still the case despite the fact that the agenda for negotiations with Washington has become significantly more demanding. According to one point of view, this development occurred as a direct result of "Prime Minister Narendra Modi's reluctance to criticize Putin, which has complicated a budding security partnership between the democracies in countering China's influence." To put it another way, Modi refused to level any criticism on Putin. Commentators who contributed their work to The Times of India stressed the idea that India regards Russia as a "important partner in a variety of areas." On the basis of this information, it is a matter of "deciding what is best for our national interests" [24] and "assessing the implications" of the events that have taken place in Ukraine [23]. They alluded to the speech that the Indian Minister of Foreign Affairs gave to the members of the Indian Parliament on April 6 as the basis for such an appraisal. This speech was given by the Indian Minister of Foreign Affairs. It was mentioned that the speech was utilized as the foundation for such an assessment.

### **Russia–India–China Dialogue Format**

That is to say, in the not-too-distant future, a parallel dialogue between India and Moscow, as well as India and the United States and other Western nations, including members of the Quad, may be seen as one of the most viable choices as a potential course of action. According to the findings of a recent study [Brahma Chellaney, 2020] conducted by a number of scholars, the probability of New Delhi's policy of "autonomy and equidistance" suddenly shifting to a formal union with Washington looks to be far lower than it was in the past.

"In point of fact, this was again indicated by the results of the regular online meeting that took place between Biden and Modi on April 11, 2022, and the subsequent face-to-face talks between the Ministers of Defense and Foreign Affairs (in the format of 2 + 2), when the parties continued the dialogue on security and other areas of cooperation, but the Indian leaders again refrained from criticizing Russia in

the context of the Ukrainian crisis and avoided any commitments to a specific course of action. The Russia-India-China (RIC) dialogue model is still important for reasons that are identical to these despite the fact that Sino-Indian relations are presently undergoing problems". The following are some of the causes for this. The events that took place in Ukraine did not have a significant impact on this format, which was supported by the fact that both India and China evaluated it from comparable, impartial views, abstaining from denouncing and condemning Russia and fending against anti-Russian sanctions. This allowed the format to remain relatively unaffected by the events that took place in Ukraine. As a result of this, the format was able to avoid having a significant impact on the current situation in Ukraine. As a direct result of this, the events that took place in Ukraine did not have a significant impact on the format that was utilized. The Russian-Chinese Intergovernmental Commission (RIC) continues to be of significant importance for both the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China. This would imply that New Delhi has no plans to relocate either, thus the conclusion would be drawn that both cities are staying put.

You may recall that on November 21, 2021, the Foreign Ministers of the Russian Federation, China, and India participated in a routine yearly event that is known as trilateral discussions (held since 2002; in the two years prior, in an online form). You may also recall that this event took place in New Delhi. The name given to this occasion was the "trilateral discussions." The high point of this event was the approval of a joint communiqué [26], which was the product of the deliberations that took place. The significance of advancing trilateral cooperation was stressed once more in the Joint Russian Statement that was made after the visit to India in December (2021) by the President of the Russian Federation [27]. This statement was issued after the President of the Russian Federation traveled to India. Following the visit of the President of the Russian Federation, a statement like this one was released. The discussions that took place between Lavrov and his colleagues in New Delhi during the spring of 2022, as indicated in the phrase that came before this one, contributed to the strengthening of the approach. "Let us note that after arriving in the capital of India from Beijing, where he had previously met with Minister Wang Yi, the head of Russian diplomacy, among other things, alluded to a good judgment about the potential of the RIC, which was again voiced by the Chinese side [28].

To reiterate, India does not seldom combine RIC-like arrangements with its involvement in other Western-oriented discussion settings (such as in the US-India-Japan trio), and this is something that we should emphasize. RIC-like arrangements involve the Regional Investment Consortium. The abbreviation RIC refers to a group known as the Regional Investment Consortium. As a consequence of this, it now appears even less possible than it did in the case of the RIC "that India will lower the amount of time that it spends engaging in the unification of the BRICS and the Shanghai Cooperation Organization. This is as a result of the fact that as a result of this, it is now even less probable than it did in the case of the RIC".

As a direct consequence of the recent shifts that have taken place in Ukraine, India is currently in a position where it can once again profit from being a beneficiary of these developments. This paves the path for India to take

advantage of this opportunity now that it is available. This is as a result of the fact that both Russia and the West place a significant degree of emphasis on India's position in relation to the rest of the world's nations. This argument is reinforced by evidence that are persuasive, such as the fact that leaders from a varied variety of nations frequently visit the capital of India.

Because the United States does not want to run the risk of losing the "Indian resource" in its struggle with China, it avoids selecting a conflict with India due to the latter's solitary stance on the situation in Ukraine [29], as a number of observers have pretty rightly pointed out. This is because the United States does not want to take the danger of losing the "Indian resource" in its war with China. This is due to the fact that the United States does not want to take any chances with their "Indian resource" while they are at war with China.

On the other hand, Russia places a significant amount of importance on India's attitude in this dispute. According to the same group of specialists, the viewpoint of India "was taken into account while writing the communiqué that followed the second Quad summit, which" "failed to condemn Russia" [30]. In other words, India's position was taken into account. In other words, Russia did not get any criticism for the measures that they took.

It would be wise for Russia to adopt a strategy that is both adaptable and suitably calibrated within the framework of the triangle produced by the United States of America, India, and Russia. This is because such a situation would objectively serve to advance the interests of the Russian Federation. It would be wise for Russia to take this course of action since doing so would be wise to advance the interests of the Russian Federation.

At the same time, it is vital to keep in mind the high degree of volatility that the global landscape is experiencing as a direct result of the current situation in Ukraine. This is because the immediate cause of this volatility is the current situation in Ukraine. This is one of the primary reasons why it is so important to refrain from making any hasty choices at all costs. The effects of it have the potential to bring about significant shifts in the circumstances that were anticipated.

In conclusion, since its beginnings, the relationship between India and the United States has progressed significantly. To this day, it serves as a monument to the possibilities for collaboration between different nations that share similar values and objectives. Their alliance will continue to be an important role in determining the geopolitical landscape of the 21st century, even as both nations continue to adjust to the shifting global dynamics that are occurring.

### Sources

1. US–India relations 1947–2020, US Council on Foreign Relations. <https://www.cfr.org/timeline/us-india-relations>. Cited April 10, 2022.
2. US–India joint leaders' statement: A partnership for global good, Whitehouse, Sep. 24 (2021). <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statementsreleases/2021/09/24/u-s-india-joint-leaders-statement-a-partnership-for-global-good/>.
3. Joint statement: Vision and principles for India–US comprehensive global strategic partnership, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, Feb. 25 (2020). [https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/32421/Joint\\_Statement\\_Vision\\_and\\_Principles\\_for\\_IndiaUS\\_Comprehensive\\_Global\\_Strategic\\_Partnership](https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/32421/Joint_Statement_Vision_and_Principles_for_IndiaUS_Comprehensive_Global_Strategic_Partnership).
4. Indo–Pacific strategy of the United States, Whitehouse, Feb. 11 (2022). <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/U.S.-Indo-Pacific-Strategy.pdf>.
5. Briefs on India's bilateral relations, India–US relations, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. <https://mea.gov.in/Portal/ForeignRelation/InUsJULynew21.pdf>.
6. Statistical review of world energy 2021, 70th ed., BP information portal. <https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/energy-economics/statistical-review/bp-stats-review-2021-full-report.pdf>.
7. Joint statement: Vision and principles for India–US comprehensive global strategic partnership, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, Feb. 25 (2020). [https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/32421/Joint\\_Statement\\_Vision\\_and\\_Principles\\_for\\_IndiaUS\\_Comprehensive\\_Global\\_Strategic\\_Partnership](https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/32421/Joint_Statement_Vision_and_Principles_for_IndiaUS_Comprehensive_Global_Strategic_Partnership).
8. US warns India against buying oil from Russia, Praim, Apr. 1 (2022). [https://1prime.ru/oil/20220401/836563319.html?utm\\_source=yxnews&utm\\_medium=desktop](https://1prime.ru/oil/20220401/836563319.html?utm_source=yxnews&utm_medium=desktop).
9. India to face significant cost if aligned with Russia, US says, BloombergQuint, Apr. 7 (2022). <https://www.bloombergquint.com/politics/india-to-face-significant-cost-if-aligned-with-russia-u-s-says>.
10. India says it has its own view on the human rights situation in the US, TASS, Apr. 14 (2022). [https://tass.ru/mezhdunarodnaya-panorama/14373555?utm\\_source=yandex.ru&utm\\_medium=organic&utm\\_campaign=yandex.ru&utm\\_referrer=yandex.ru](https://tass.ru/mezhdunarodnaya-panorama/14373555?utm_source=yandex.ru&utm_medium=organic&utm_campaign=yandex.ru&utm_referrer=yandex.ru).
11. State Councilor and Foreign Minister Wang Yi's questions and answers at a press conference on China's foreign policy and China's foreign relations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, Mar. 9 (2022). [https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/rus/zxxx/202203/t20220309\\_10650115.html](https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/rus/zxxx/202203/t20220309_10650115.html).
12. Transcript of special briefing by external affairs minister on meeting with foreign minister of China, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, Mar. 25 (2022). [https://www.mea.gov.in/media-briefings.htm?dtl/35076/Transcript\\_of\\_Special\\_Briefing\\_by\\_External\\_Affairs\\_Minister\\_on\\_Meeting\\_with\\_Foreign\\_Minister\\_of\\_China\\_March\\_25\\_2022](https://www.mea.gov.in/media-briefings.htm?dtl/35076/Transcript_of_Special_Briefing_by_External_Affairs_Minister_on_Meeting_with_Foreign_Minister_of_China_March_25_2022).
13. Foreign trade of the PRC by countries and regions from January to December 2021 (in Chinese), General Administration of Customs of the People's Republic of China. <http://www.customs.gov.cn/customs/302249/zfxxgk/2799825/302274/302277/302276/4127455/index.html>.
13. Mahendra Patel's top comment, Chat and Comments Portal of The Times of India, Apr. 8 (2022). <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/india->



- optimistic-on-us-defence-talks-despite-russia-strain/articleshow/90721836.cms. 15. Sudhi Ranjan Sen, India condemns killing in Bucha, seeks independent investigation. Russia continues to be an important partner, Jaishankar says. India and Russia are working on alternate payment system, Bloomberg, Apr. 6 (2022). <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2022-04-06/india-condemns-killing-in-bucha-seeks-independent-investigation>. 16. Where does India stand in the current situation between the Russia and Ukraine conflict? Qwora.com, Mar. 29 (2022). <https://www.quora.com/Where-does-India-stand-in-the-current-situation-between-the-Russia-and-Ukraine-conflict>.
14. 17. Sergei Lavrov was given a rupee of friendship: Russian Foreign Minister strengthens partnership with Delhi, bypassing Western sanctions, Kommersant”, Apr. 1 (2022). <https://www.kommersant.ru/theme/379>.
15. 18. Ruble–rupee bhai-bhai: Delhi seeks ways to circumvent Western sanctions against Russia, Kommersant, Mar. 15 (2022). <https://www.kommersant.ru/doc/5258945>.
16. 19. Prime Minister participates in virtual summit of Quad leaders, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, Mar. 03 (2022). [https://www.mea.gov.in/press-releases.htm?dtl/34927/Prime\\_Minister\\_participates\\_in\\_virtual\\_summit\\_of\\_Quad\\_leaders](https://www.mea.gov.in/press-releases.htm?dtl/34927/Prime_Minister_participates_in_virtual_summit_of_Quad_leaders).
17. 20. Alternatives to Russian weapons “too expensive,” India told us: report, Indian Defence News, Apr. 9 (2022). <http://www.indiandefensenews.in/2022/04/alternativest-o-russian-weapons-too.html>.
18. 21. Joint readout of Quad leaders call, Mar. 3 (2022). [https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/34928/Joint\\_Readout\\_of\\_Quad\\_Leaders\\_Call](https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/34928/Joint_Readout_of_Quad_Leaders_Call).
19. 22. Quad leaders agree Ukraine experience should not be allowed in Indo–Pacific Japan, Australia, Reuters, Mar. 4 (2022). <https://www.reuters.com/world/bidenquad-leaders-discuss-ukraine-thursday-white-house2022-03-03/>. Cited March 4, 2022.
20. 23. US says Biden’s sanctions adviser had “really good discussions” in India, National TV Chanel, India, Apr. 1 (2022). <https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/us-says-bidenssanctions-adviser-had-really-good-discussions-in-india2855847>. Cited April 2, 2022.
21. 24. India optimistic on US defense talks despite Russia strain, Times of India. [https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/india-optimistic-on-us-defence-talks-despite-russia-strain/articleshow/90721836.cms?utm\\_source=contentofinterest&utm\\_medium=text&utm\\_campaign=cppst](https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/india-optimistic-on-us-defence-talks-despite-russia-strain/articleshow/90721836.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst). Cited April 8, 2022.
22. 25. We import less oil from Russia in a month than Europe does in an afternoon: India, Indian Express, Apr. 12 (2022). <https://indianexpress.com/article/india/us-india-22-dialogue-russia-ukraine-war-blinken-jaishankar-7865412/>. Cited April 18, 2022.
23. 26. Joint Communiqué of the 18th Meeting of the Foreign Ministers of the Russian Federation, the Republic of India, and the People’s Republic of China, Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Nov. 26 (2021). <https://mid.ru/upload/medialibrary/.pdf>. Cited February 12, 2022.
24. 27. Answers of the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation Sergei Lavrov to media questions following the talks with the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of India S. Jaishankar, New Delhi, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation, Apr. 1 (2022). Cited April 10, 2022.
25. 28. Joint statement on the results of the 21st Russian–Indian summit “Russia–India: Partnership for Peace, Progress, and Prosperity,” Website of the President of the Russian Federation. <http://www.kremlin.ru/supplement/5745>. Cited April 10, 2022.
26. 29. How PM Modi is countering pressure to speak against Putin, National TV Chanel, India, Mar. 21 (2022). <https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/russia-ukraine-war-how-pm-modi-is-countering-pressure-to-speak-against-putin-2833644>. Cited April 10, 2022.
27. 30. Joint statement, Prime Minister, Prime Minister Narendra Modi of India, Prime Minister Fumio Kishida of Japan, President Joe Biden of the United States, Government of Australia, Mar. 4 (2022). <https://www.pm.gov.au/media/quad-leaders-virtual-meeting-joint-stmt>. Cited April 10, 2022.

## References

1. Challengy, B. (2020) Biden can make an ally of India, Foreign Affairs. Retrieved from <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2020-11-10/biden-can-make-ally-india>.
2. Juster, K.I., Kumar, M., Cutler, W., and Forbes, N. (2022). It’s time for America and India to talk trade, Foreign Affairs. Retrieved from <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/india/2022-04-14/its-time-america-and-india-talk-trade>.
3. Kuzyk, B.N. and Shaumyan, T.L. (2009). *Indiya–Rossiya: Strategiya partnerstva v XXI veke* [India–Russia: A Partnership Strategy in the 21st Century], Moscow: Institut Ekonomicheskikh Strategii, pp. 825–862.
4. Menon, S. (2020). League of nationalists: How Trump and Modi refashioned the US–Indian relationship. *Foreign Affairs*, 99(5), 132–139.
5. Menon, S., & Saran, S. (2018). *The New Arthashastra: A Security Strategy for India*. HarperCollins India.
6. Mohan, C. (2019). *Modi's World: Expanding India's Sphere of Influence*. HarperCollins India.
7. Paul, T. V. (2016). *The Warrior State: Pakistan in the Contemporary World*. Oxford University Press.
8. Subramanian, A. and Felman, J. (2022). India’s stalled rise: How the state has stifled growth. *Foreign Affairs*, 101(1), 139–150.
9. Uyanaev, S.V. (2020) Razvitie formata “Rossiya–Indiya–Kitai” v kontekste dialoga “N’yu–Deli–Vashington” [Development of the “Russia–India–China” format in the context of the “New Delhi–Washington” dialogue], *Kitai v mirovoi i regional’noi politike. Istoriya i sovremennost’* [China in World and Regional Politics: History and Modernity], No. 25, 13–33.