WWJMRD 2025; 11(12): 30-32
www.wwjmrd.com
International Journal
Peer Reviewed Journal
Refereed Journal
Indexed Journal
Impact Factor SJIF 2017: 5.182 2018: 5.51, (ISI) 2020-2021: 1.361
E-ISSN: 2454-6615

Salvador A. Loria Jr.
Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Cabanatuan, Nueva Ecija, Philippines.

Joycelin N. Caralde
Bulacan Agricultural State College, San Ildefonso, Bulacan, Philippines.

Ilene DS. Bunag
Bulacan State University, City of Malolos, Bulacan, Philippines.

Correspondence:
Salvador A. Loria Jr.
Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Cabanatuan, Nueva Ecija, Philippines.

The Interdependence of Logical Connectives and Set-Theoretic Operations: A Theoretical Perspective

Salvador A. Loria Jr., Joycelin N. Caralde, Ilene DS. Bunag

Abstract
This paper investigates the deep structural relationship between logical connectives and set-theoretic operations. Through conceptual and theoretical analysis, it shows that logical operations—such as conjunction, disjunction, and negation—parallel fundamental set operations like intersection, union, and complement. This correspondence illustrates how set theory provides a semantic foundation for logical systems, while logic offers a symbolic framework for expressing and analyzing set relations. Drawing on literature from Boolean algebra, propositional logic, and classical set theory, the study demonstrates that many mathematical reasoning processes can be interpreted through set-theoretic representations. The findings underscore that logic and set theory are not isolated disciplines but mutually reinforcing frameworks that together support the development of formal reasoning and mathematical thought.

Keywords: Set-theoretic Operations, Logical Connectives, Boolean Algebra.

Introduction
Logic and set theory are two of the most fundamental pillars of modern mathematics. Logic provides the rules of valid reasoning, while set theory supplies the mathematical framework that organizes objects, relationships, and structures. Although often taught separately, these two fields are deeply interconnected. Logical connectives describe relationships between propositions, whereas set-theoretic operations describe relationships among collections of objects. Their respective rules and structures resemble each other so closely that they can be seen as two different languages expressing the same underlying concepts.

This paper investigates the structural equivalence between logical connectives and set-theoretic operations. By analyzing their relationships, the paper demonstrates that logic can be interpreted using sets and that set theory, in turn, gains expressive power through logic. This perspective is foundational not only for mathematics but also for computer science, linguistics, philosophy, and fields that rely on formal reasoning. The goal of this paper is to provide a comprehensive theoretical explanation of how logical connectives correspond to set operations, how truth values can be represented using sets, and how set inclusion mirrors logical implication.

Objectives
- To demonstrate the formal correspondence between logical connectives and set theory operations.
- To explain how set theory provides a semantic basis for logical systems, showing that truth values can be represented through sets and set inclusion mirrors logical implication.
- To examine how Boolean Algebra unifies logic and set theory by proving that the set operations follow the same algebraic properties as logical expressions.
- To map logical laws to their set-theoretic counterparts, emphasizing their structural parallelism.
- To argue that logic and set theory are not isolated domains but mutually reinforcing frameworks that together strengthen mathematical thought and problem-solving

Methodology

Logical Connectives in Propositional Logic

Logical connectives form compound propositions from simpler statements. Jeffrey and Boolos (1998) noted that logic offers a formal system for specifying relationships among truth values. The basic connectives include:

Negation (~A) – reverses a statement's truth value
Conjunction (A ∧ B) – true only when both statements are true
Disjunction (A ∨ B) – true when at least one statement is true
Implication (A → B) – false only when A is true and B is false
Biconditional (A ↔ B) – true when A and B have the same truth value

These connectives form the core of propositional logic and support more advanced reasoning structures.

Set-Theoretic Operations

Halmos (1974) described sets as collections of objects and set operations as ways of manipulating these collections. The fundamental operations include:

Union (A ∪ B) – elements in A or B
Intersection (A ∩ B) – elements common to both sets
Complement (A^c) – elements not in the set
Difference (A – B) – elements in A but not in B
Cartesian Product (A × B) – ordered pairs from A and B
These operations follow algebraic laws analogous to logical rules.

Boolean Algebra: Linking Logic and Sets

Boole (1854), the founder of Boolean algebra, demonstrated that set operations follow the same algebraic properties as logical expressions. Boolean algebra laid the groundwork for modern set theory and for formal logic used in computer circuits, programming, and symbolic reasoning. In Boolean algebra:

1. Sets correspond to propositions
2. Set operations correspond to logical connectives
3. Inclusion corresponds to implication

This established a direct connection between the two domains.

Semantic Interpretations through Models

Semantic models, such as Venn diagrams and truth tables, visually represent logical relationships using sets (Tarski, 1941). These models show how propositions map onto sets of truth conditions. For example, a proposition may be represented by the set of situations where it is true.

Modern Studies on Logic-Set Correspondence

Contemporary literature emphasizes the importance of logic and set theory in foundational mathematics. Suppes (1972) and Enderton (1977) stressed that set theory serves as the underlying framework for mathematical structures, while logic governs the reasoning used to manipulate them. Their works support the idea that set theory and logic form a unified system.

Mapping Logical Connectives to Set Operations

1. **Conjunction (A ∧ B) and Intersection (A ∩ B)**
   Logical conjunction is true only when both A and B are true. Similarly, an element belongs to A∩B only if it belongs to both A and B. Thus: $A \wedge B \equiv A \cap B$
2. **Disjunction (A ∨ B) and Union (A ∪ B)**
   Logical disjunction is true when at least one of the statements is true. Union contains elements that appear in either set. Thus: $A \vee B \equiv A \cup B$
3. **Negation (~A) and Complement (A^c)**
   Negation reverses a proposition's truth value. Complement contains elements not in the set. Thus: $\sim A \equiv A^c$
4. **Implication (A → B) and $A^c \cup B$**
   Implication is false only when A is true and B is false. The corresponding set operation is: $A \rightarrow B \equiv A^c \cup B$
5. **Biconditional (A ↔ B)**
   The biconditional is true when A and B share the same truth value. This corresponds to the union of their mutual intersection and mutual complement: $A \leftrightarrow B \equiv (A \cap B) \cup (A^c \cap B^c)$.

These equivalences show that logical expressions can be interpreted as set-theoretic statements.

Logical Laws and Set Laws: A Structural Parallel

Many logical rules have direct analogues in set theory:

De Morgan's Laws
Logic: $\sim (A \wedge B) \equiv \sim A \vee \sim B$
Sets: $(A \cap B)^c \equiv A^c \cup B^c$

Distributive Laws
Logic: $A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$
Sets: $A \cap (B \cup C) \equiv (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$

Associative and Commutative Laws
Both sets and logic follow the same structure of grouping and ordering. These parallels demonstrate that set theory and logic are algebraically identical in structure.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that logical connectives and set-theoretic operations are structurally and conceptually interdependent. Conjunction corresponds to intersection, disjunction to union, and negation to complement. These parallels reveal that set theory provides a powerful semantic foundation for logic, while logic offers a symbolic framework to describe relationships among sets. Understanding these correspondences enriches the study of mathematics, computer science, and related disciplines. Ultimately, logic and set theory operate as two expressions of the same underlying mathematical principles.

Acknowledgement

The researcher wishes to express our heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to the Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, where the authors study and work. And most importantly, to all the people behind the success, and become the inspiration for this work.

References

1. Boole, G. (1854). An investigation of the laws of thought. Walton and Maberly.
2. Enderton, H. B. (1977). Elements of set theory. Academic Press.

3. Halmos, P. R. (1974). Naive set theory. Springer.
4. Jarmużek, T., & Klonowski, M. (2022). Tableaux for logics of content relationship and Set-Assignment semantics. Logica Universalis, 16(1–2), 195–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11787-022-00293-w
5. Jeffrey, R., & Boolos, G. (1998). Computability and logic. Cambridge University Press.
6. Suppes, P. (1972). Axiomatic set theory. Dover Publications.
7. Tarski, A. (1941). Introduction to logic and to the methodology of the deductive sciences. Oxford University Press.